

1	APPEARANCES
2	Board of Selectmen:
3	Cosmas Iocovozzi, Selectman Chairman.
4	Jack O'Reilly, Selectman Rick Stern, Selectman
5	Moderator:
6	Ruth K. Fletcher
7	
8	Also Present:
9	Beatrice Marconi, Administrative Assistant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Court Reporter: Camille M. Palladino-Duffy
17	RPR, LCR 105
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE MODERATOR: All right. It's 10:30 so
3	we're going to get started. We're going to start
4	with the Pledge of Allegiance, so if you'll please
5	stand.
6	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
7	Thank you. You are hereby notified to meet at
8	the Newington Town Hall oh, no. The business
9	por that was from Tuesday, but the business
10	portion of the meeting to act on Article 4 through
11	25 will resume on Saturday, March 17 at 10:30 a.m.
12	So we're starting with Article 4. Are you in
13	favor of adopting the following Bicycle Town
14	Ordinance. General: All ordinances concerning
15	bicycles shall apply to all nonmotorized wheeled
16	means of transportation. This includes, but not
17	limited to, bicycles, tricycles, skateboards,
18	roller skates, roller blades, scooter, et cetera.
19	Observance of traffic regulations: Persons riding
20	bicycles shall observe all traffic signs and
21	signals, shall stop at all traffic signs, and shall
22	further observe all traffic rules and regulations,
23	shall turn only at intersections and shall ride as

closely as practical to the right-hand side of the 1 roadway and shall not impede the normal and 2 reasonable flow of traffic. No persons operating a 3 bicycle in the Town of Newington shall ride two or 4 more or abreast. No person shall ride a bicycle on 5 any street in the Town of Newington after dark 6 7 unless the bicycle is equipped with sufficient 8 light attached to the front of said bicycle visible from the front thereof, for a distance of not less 9 10 than 200 feet and properly lighted; nor without a tail light, red in color, or in lieu thereof, a 11 reflector attached and visible from the rear for a 12 distance of not less than 200 feet. 13 Penalties: upon conviction of any offense under this ordinance 14 the person shall be subject to the following 15 penalties: First offense: \$25; second offense: 16 \$50; third and each subsequent offense: \$100. 17 Anv fine in which a violator is subject under this 18 ordinance shall be paid to the Clerk of Court of 19 the Portsmouth District Court at any time prior to 20 21 trial. Do I hear a motion on Article 1 -- 4, Article 22

4. Moved by John Klanchesser; seconded by Sandra

23

Sweeney.

1

2	Is there discussion on Article 4? Yes. You
3	have to state your name. Then sit down.
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. John Klanchesser,
5	Coleman Drive. This is towards the Selectmen. Are
6	the bicycle groups that ride through this town
7	going to be notified about the two abreast?
8	Because every summer we have problems with them
9	coming to this town and they are 3, 4, abreast
10	going through the town.
11	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: John. All right, John,
12	yeah, we put signs out when the police department
13	told us or asked us for an ordinance on that. So
14	we do have signs in town and a bike path that
15	people coming in and out, they can read them. ${\mathbb I}$
16	hope they can read them.
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you.
18	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I asked the police chief
19	that when there is a bike race or something through
20	town, John, do you have a notification on that? We
21	do, don't we?
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patty Borkland, 5 Little Bay
23	Extension. I wanted to ask if there's any

Duffy & McKenna Reporting Associates 1-800-600-1000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

possibility, I'd love the sign over on South Newington next to the playground over there. There's a sign that says, please ride single file. I would love to see some more of those like on Little Bay Road and maybe on the Nimble Hill Road, some of our main roads, like, maybe on each side, even if they are right besides each other. One gettin' them each way because, you know, going down to Fox Point and such, they get really ugly and they won't go one and one. So I was wondering if we could possibly set that into our budget. Thank you. SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yeah, we'll take that up

in the next meeting, Pat, but I don't see any problems with that. I know there's one at the end of the bike path, people coming down that way see it, but we'll do that.

18THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion?19If not, I'll call for a vote. You are voting, are20you in fav -- the article is are you in favor of21adopting following Bicyclists Town Ordinance, which22I just read and you have access to on your warrant.23All right. I'll call for the motion.

Duffy & McKenna Reporting Associates 1-800-600-1000

1	All those in favor say aye. Those opposed,
2	no. Motion carries and it was unanimous.
3	Article 5. Shall the town vote to change the
4	Library Trustees from a 3 member board to a 5
5	member board. The trustees shall serve staggered
6	three year terms or until they are successors are
7	elected and qualified.
8	Do I hear a motion on Article 5? Moved by
9	Patti Borkland; seconded by Lynne Bullock.
10	Is there discussion on Article 5? There being
11	none, I'll call for a vote. All those in favor of
12	Article 5, to see if the Town will vote to change
13	the library trustees from a 3 member board to a 5
14	member board say aye. Those opposed, no. Motion
15	carries, unanimous.
16	Article 6, to see if the Town will vote to
17	establish an Expendable Trust Fund under the
18	provisions of RSA 31:19-A to be known as the Health
19	Plan Deductible Supplement Fund, and to raise and
20	appropriate \$50,000. The purpose of this fund is
21	for the Town's portion of annual contribution to
22	the deductible with the conversion to Comp2500 plan
23	after employee contribution total is met, and to

1	appoint the Selectmen as agents. This is in
2	addition to the Town's operating budget.
3	Do I hear a motion on Article 7? Moved by
4	Gail Pare; seconded by Granville Knox.
5	Is there discussion on Article 6?
6	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Why don't I give a little
7	bit of explanation anyway. I think the employees
8	and the Selectmen have all recognized that the Town
9	paying 100 percent of one of the best health
10	insurance policies you can buy, there's a little
11	bit of a strain on the budget. So we met over a
12	year or so to look at various options of covering
13	health insurance. We went from what was called a
14	Comp100 or equivalent where employee out-of-pocket
15	copay was \$100 and then insurance would kick in the
16	rest. And we switched to a 2500, but all at once
17	we thought that was kind of a big hit for people's
18	pocketbooks, so what we had agreed to, and there
19	was no votes or anything like that, no vote
20	(inaudible) but we all agreed and we felt
21	comfortable with switching to a 2500 plan which
22	saves the Town about \$112,000 in premiums, but we
23	also decided that the employees would pay the first

1	\$250 per person, or \$500 out of pocket and then the
2	Town would offer to pay the rest of the copay up to
3	the \$2500 each. We also decided to include
4	retirees who are under the Town insurance. There's
5	only two and, not the spouse, but just the retiree
6	themselves and we've added to the policy any new
7	hires starting in May 1st, will be paying 10
8	percent of their premiums, plus this additional
9	copay. So this fund is to fund the copays. It's
10	not for a full year. It's about seven months'
11	worth, based on historical data from other towns
12	who do the same thing, our liability usually comes
13	in at about half. So, I mean, we're not funding
14	the full copay, about half of it. We'll know
15	better after this year and subsequent years, how
16	much to put back in the fund. So the first year
17	will be the biggest expense. Subsequent to that,
18	you'll see less and you'll see more of a savings on
19	your tax rate.
20	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Is there further
21	discussion on Article 5? All right, I'll call
22	no, Article 6, I'm sorry. The Health Plan
23	Deductible, to see if the Town will vote to

establish an expendable trust fund as Jack just 1 2 explained. 3 All those in favor say aye. Those opposed, no. Motion carries unanimous. 4 5 Article 7, to see what sum the municipality will vote to raise and appropriate for the 6 operating budget. The Budget Committee recommends 7 8 \$6,171,583.00. The Board of Selectmen recommends \$6,156,088.00. This article does not include 9 appropriations voted in other warrant articles. 10 11 Do I hear a motion on Article 7? Moved by Patty Borkland; seconded by Gail Pare. 12 Is there discussion on Article 7? 13 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Denis Hebert, Gundalow --14 MODERATOR FLETCHER: You need to give your 15 16 name and address. 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: I was just doing that. MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, I'm sorry. 18 19 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Denis Hebert, Gundolow 20 Landing. MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, I couldn't hear you. 21 22 Okay. 23 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. What's the difference

1	between the two different numbers? Why is the
2	Selectmen saying the lower number?
3	THE MODERATOR: Do you want to answer that?
4	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I don't know if the chair
5	from budget wants to come up on the stage, too,
6	John, or not. But there were two additions made,
7	one was the police came in and asked for additional
8	funds so they can purchase tazers and training.
9	After some recent experience they've had with
10	apprehending bank robbers and other things, they
11	decided after many years, it's probably a good
12	thing to have for the safety of the officer. And
13	the library realized, since they put their budget
14	in in November that they were kind of underfunding
15	themselves and they asked for some additional, and
16	I'm not sure where I think it was for books and
17	stuff, was it for reading materials?
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Reading materials.
19	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Reading Materials. So,
20	they came in the, I'm sorry, the library came in
21	at the their budget committee hearing and asked,
22	the police came in at the public hearing and asked
23	for additional funds.

- -

1	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you.
2	THE MODERATOR: Is there any further are
3	there any further questions on Article 7? If not
4	I'll oh, sorry.
5	PUBLIC SPEAKER: John Frink, Nimble Hill Road.
6	I, just for clarity on the whole thing, the figure
7	that we are voting on is what?
8	THE MODERATOR: The Budget committee?
9	PUBLIC SPEAKER: (inaudible).
10	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you.
11	THE MODERATOR: All right. Now are we
12	ready okay. All those in favor of Article 7, to
13	see what sum the municipality will vote to raise or
14	appropriate for the operating budget. The Budget
15	Committee recommends \$6,171,583.00. All those in
16	favor, say aye. Those opposed? Unanimous.
17	Article 8, to see if the Town will vote to
18	raise and appropriate the sum of \$5,000 to be
19	placed in the existing capital reserve fund under
20	the provisions on RSA 351:1, which was established
21	to fund capital improvements for the cemetery.
22	This article is recommended by the Selectmen
23	and the Budget Committee. Do I hear a motion on

Article 8? Moved by John Klanchesser; seconded by 1 2 Vannie Braun. 3 Is there any discussion on Article 8? If not, 4 you are voting to see if the Town will raise and 5 appropriate the sum of \$5,000 for the Capital 6 Reserve Fund for the cemetery. All those in favor, 7 say aye. Those opposed, no. Unanimous. 8 Article 9, to see if the Town will raise and 9 appropriate the sum of \$7,000, to be placed in the 10 existing capital reserve fund under the provisions 11 of RSA 35:1, for the purpose of funding Newington's 12 Tricentennial Celebration and affiliated costs. 13 It's recommended by the Selectmen and the Budget 14 Committee. Do I hear a motion? Moved by Patty 15 Borkland; seconded by Vannie Braun. All those --16 oh, is there discussion? 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Dave Sweeney, 28 Fox Road. 18 Can you give us an idea what the \$7,000 is going to 19 be spent on, because to celebrate the history of 20 the town, what we probably should be doing is being 21 out near the structures that are important and 22 promoting them and you don't need any money to do 23 that. So, where are these funds intended to be

14

l	spent?
2	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Who would like to answer,
3	for that? All right. Gail.
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Gail Pare, Little Bay Road.
5	I'm a member of the planning committee for this
6	TriCentennial. We're talking about trying to have
7	celebrations in various areas of town over a three
8	day period in August of 2013. Starting with a, at
9	least, a brief ceremony down at the end of help
10	me here, your street.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patterson.
12	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Pardon?
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patterson Lane?
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Excuse me. Not no.
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patterson Lane.
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Down by the industrial area.
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patterson Lane.
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patterson Lane. Thank you
19	very much. It's been a tough weekend. Patterson
20	Lane being one of the oldest streets in town. So,
21	we thought we'd kick off down there with a brief
22	ceremony. Then we're going to try and have
23	parades, fireworks, various people coming in.

Duffy & McKenna Reporting Associates 1-800-600-1000

-

1	We're hoping to get a native American encampment,
2	all these things cost money to bring folks in, so
3	this is to cover that. We'll be soliciting
4	residents who would like to contribute, businesses,
5	our industrial base, et cetera, et cetera. But
6	this is to try to make it a fairly bang up thing
7	and end with fireworks, which are not cheap. Does
8	that answer your question?
9	PUBLIC SPEAKER: It certainly does, it sounds
10	like
11	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I'd like to commend, too,
12	they've put a lot of time in from selling pies a
13	year ago to having a lot of meetings, purchasing
14	merchandise, which is the picture inside the cover
15	of your town report. And, basically, it's been, we
16	gave them some startup money last year and this
17	helps them buy things that they can sell to make a
18	little bit of a profit and also offset some of the
19	cost to the town. So, they've been doing a really
20	good job and I'm sure they'd be willing to sell you
21	any of the merchandise any time.
22	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Any further discussion?
23	If not, you are voting to see if the Town will vote

-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to raise and appropriate the sum of \$7,000, to be
placed in the capital reserve fund for the
Tercentennial celebration. All those in favor, say
aye? Those opposed, no.

There was one "no" and the rest were -- it was unanimous. It wasn't unanimous, but there was only one.

Okay. Article 10, to see if the Town will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of \$25,000, to be placed in the existing Conservation Fund established in accordance with RSA 36-A:5, to be used for expenses associated with the purchase of conservation land and open space, easements, wetlands and other land management acquisitions. This article was recommended by the Selectmen and the Budget Committee.

Do I hear a motion on Article 10? Moved by Mike Marconi; seconded by John Klanchesser. Is there discussion on Article 10? If not -- oh --

PUBLIC SPEAKER: It seems to me that we have another source of funding, particularly to protect conservation lands here in town. We give current use to properties in town that don't meet the

1

2

3

4

5

requirements that they be either woodlands or
agricultural property. So, why don't we go to
those sites that don't meet those requirements and
tax them appropriately, and put that money in to
solve this problem here?

6 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Justin Richardson, 32 Old Post Road. As many of you know, I'm the chair of 7 the Conservation Commission, and and we do use 8 9 what's called the Land Use Change Tax. I believe 10 that 100 percent of that goes into the conservation 11 But, it's been a fairly limited source of fund. funds, especially since I've been in town. 12 I can't 13 recall, I think there was a property maybe five or 14 six years ago. There's one more recent, I think we 15 got, subject to check, I believe \$7,000. The Selectmen actually -- it was a higher amount, but 16 the Selectmen issued an abatement. So, it really 17 18 kind of comes back to the town. I mean, obviously, 19 if we had a lot of funds coming in from the Land Use Change Tax, I don't think we'd be here to ask 20 for this money. It does provide a benefit to the 21 town. As many of you know, the DOT is widening the 22 23 Turnpike and they were required to preserve land in

1	Newington as part of that. The Conservation
2	Commission worked with DOT and we now have either
3	acquired or we have an agreement to acquire this
4	year about 65 acres. Half of it in easements, more
5	or less, half of it the town's actually buying a
6	property at the corner of Fox Point and Old Post
7	Road, 26 acres, for \$100,000. And that was just
8	we just had the hearings on that a couple of weeks
9	ago. So, it is, you know, it is it's really a
10	town decision, but the Land Use Change Tax really
11	wouldn't be sufficient because we just don't have
12	enough property selling and being subdivided, which
13	is what triggers that.
14	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Patty?
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: (inaudible)
16	MODERATOR FLETCHER: You need to come to the
17	microphone if you
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I just wanted to ask if the
19	mics can be turned up, because back here we can't
20	hear very well.
21	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, okay. Are those mics
22	handheld too, so if they need if you instead
23	of scooching down, you can pick it up.

. .

1	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: You can pick them up.
2	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Justin, thank you. I don't
3	think we actually addressed what I was
4	recommending. I'm glad to hear that money will be
5	coming for that land. I wonder, is it going to be
6	used to create any sort of natural buffer between
7	us and the increased traffic flow that will be out
8	there? But, getting back to my original point,
9	which is, we have properties in town which are not
10	meeting the letter of the law which says that
11	current use property is to be used for woodlands,
12	growing woodlands or growing agricultural products,
13	even if it's hay. But property that is mowed, like
14	a lawn, doesn't meet that and should be negated.
15	And take the tax funding from there to subsidize
16	these conservation projects. That's my point.
17	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We've reviewed this
18	issue, I don't know even how many times. We have
19	contract appraisers who are wise in the law. When
20	people put a request in to put lands in current
21	use, it goes through them, they review it, they
22	have a look at the property, they look at what
23	words and the laws say and then they recommend to

1

-

1	the Selectmen. We don't do this directly. We hire
2	this out. And we've been assured by them, and we
3	pay them good money, that there are no properties
4	currently in town in current use, in their opinion,
5	that are not properly in current use. If there
6	were, we would remove them or have them removed.
7	So, I mean, that's just I know they've brought
8	this up before, I know we've done the research, I
9	don't want to get into a big argument about it, but
10	we have looked into this.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Jack, I understand that. If
12	you are employing if you are employing people to
13	represent this town that aren't doing their job
14	now, have you personally looked up the RSA that
15	requires that it be either woodlands or
16	agricultural use? Have you?
17	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I have read the RSA, yes.
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Jack, tell me what
19	does it say, either Agricultural or
20	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Dave, I don't have it
21	memorized. It's been a while since I've read it.
22	And
23	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right. Then you should read

and the second

.

1	it again. But, what you keep telling me is that we
2	have given the job to somebody that's, obviously,
3	not doing it, because they don't meet that
4	requirement. And yet you say, let's just continue
5	the way things are. We've got a source of income
6	and you refuse to use it.
7	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I mean, these people are
8	licensed by the state, their operations are
9	reviewed, you know, we hire them based on their
10	credentials and that's all I want to say about it.
11	THE MODERATOR: Mr. Jackson is waiting to
12	speak.
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Ms. Moderator.
14	With all due respect, these are excellent
15	questions, but they don't have really anything to
16	do with the focus of this warrant article, which is
17	to see whether the town itself, should put money in
18	the fund. Whether or not tax assessments are being
19	done correctly, is another issue, so unless there
20	are other comments on it, I'd like to move the
21	question on this warrant article.
22	THE MODERATOR: This is not going to turn into
23	a he said/she said and two person thing, so are you

-

ready to move on?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

PUBLIC SPEAKER: May I request that the Selectmen approach the State of New Hampshire and get their view of whether or not this assessment being done in town is proper?

MODERATOR FLETCHER: You can request it. Okay. I will now ask for the vote. That you -you're voting to raise and appropriate \$25,000 for the conservation fund. All those in favor say, aye. Those opposed, no. Okay. So noted.

Article 11, to see if the town will vote to 11 dissolve the capital reserve known as the Highway 12 Garage Building Fund, established in 2006, under 13 14 the provisions on RSA 35:1. Said funds with accumulated interest to date of withdrawal are to 15 be transferred to the municipality's general fund. 16 And as of 2011 they had about -- on December 31, 17 18 2011, they had a balance of \$74,448 and \$74,000 of 19 that was taken out January 2012 and paid towards the bond. So, I'm assuming you are only going to 20 put \$448 of that back in, plus the rent for the 21 22 year.

SELECTMAN O'REILLY: And the interest, nothing

1 much. Okay. 2 MODERATOR FLETCHER: 3 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Any little bit of 4 interest that came in the last couple of months 5 would be added to that, but that would be about it. 6 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. Do I hear a 7 motion? Moved by Mike Marconi, seconded by Granville Knox. Is there any discussion on Article 8 9 11? If not --10 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Brian Haberstroh of Little 11 Bear Road. My question is, when this money, if this passes, this \$74,000 --12 13 THE MODERATOR: No, there's only \$448. 14 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Well, then, never mind. 15 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Yeah. Okay. All right. 16 All those in favor of dissolving the capital 17 reserve known as the Highway Garage Building Fund, 18 say aye. Those opposed, no. Motion carries, 19 unanimous. Article 12, to see if the Town will vote to 20 raise and appropriate the sum of \$35,000, to be 21 22 placed in the existing Capital Reserve Fund under 23 the provisions of RSA 35:1, for on the replacement

1	or repair of vehicles operated by the fire
2	department. This was recommended by both the
3	Selectmen and the Budget Committee. And the
4	balance in there as of the end of December was
5	\$211,550.
6	Do I hear a motion? Moved by John
7	Klanchesser, seconded by Skip Link. Is there
8	discussion on Article 12? There being none, I'll
9	call for the vote. All those in favor of
10	appropriating raising and appropriating \$35,000
11	for the replacement or repair of fire department
12	vehicles, say aye. Those opposed, no. Motion
13	carries, unanimous.
14	Article 13, to see if the Town will vote to
15	raise and appropriate the sum of \$35,000, to be
16	placed in the existing Capital Reserve Fund under
17	the provisions on RSA 35:1, for the replacement of
18	the town ambulance and any major medical equipment.
19	This article is recommended by both the Selectmen
20	and the Budget Committee. And as cf December 31
21	the funds had a balance of \$95,383.00.
22	Do I hear a motion? Moved Patty Borkland,
23	seconded by George Fletcher. Is there discussion

******* •*

1	on this article? There being none, I'll call for
2	the vote to raise and appropriate the sum of
3	\$35,000, to be placed in the existing Capital
4	Reserve Fund for the ambulance and any major
5	medical equipment. All those in favor, say aye.
6	All those opposed, unanimous.
7	Article 14, to see if the Town will vote to
8	raise and appropriate the sum of \$15,000, to be put
9	in the existing Capital Reserve fund under the
10	provisions on RSA 35:1, for the replacement and/or
11	major repairs of vehicles and equipment operated by
12	the highway department. This article is
13	recommended by the Selectmen and the Budget
14	Committee. And as of December 31 the balance was
15	\$30,651.
16	Do I hear a motion? Moved Patty Borkland,
17	seconded by Mike Marconi. Is there discussion on
18	Article 14? If not, I'll call for the vote. All
19	those in favor of appropriating \$15,000 for
20	replacement and major repairs of vehicles for the
21	highway department, say aye. Those opposed, no.
22	Motion carries, unanimous.
23	Article 15, to see if the Town will vote to

11	
1	raise and appropriate the sum of \$20,000, to be
2	placed in the existing Capital Reserve fund under
3	the provisions on RSA 35:1, known as the Major Road
4	Work Fund, which was established for the purpose of
5	funding major improvements to roadways. This
6	Article is recommended by the Selectmen and the
7	Budget Committee. And as of December 31 there was
8	a balance of \$126,711.62.
9	Do I hear a motion on Article 5 15? Moved
10	by John Klanchesser, seconded by Vannie Braun. Is
11	there discussion on Article 15? Oh, okay. Go
12	ahead, David.
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: As far as the major road work
14	in the town, is that going to include the dropping
15	of the roadway as you go up Fox Point toward Fox
16	Point, you know, between the intersection out here
17	and Marina Road, that drifts way down to the right.
18	Is that part of this major roadwork construction?
19	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: No, that's a I think
20	we have that on, I mean, I'm trying to remember
21	where you are. But, we are going to be taking care
22	of that section of road in the regular budget.
23	That comes under the regular budget. Basically,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

what this Article was for, one of our major roads that we have, and it is built on a swamp as everybody knows, is Shattuck Way. This is the reason why we have this money in there. Our major roads, basically, was Shattuck Way because of all the truck traffic and everything else that we have there, plus it's built on a swamp. And phase four, too, that's the add on from where the old -- the Wilcox Building is, all the way to Gosling Road. So we have money in there for that section, too. And we're trying to get it up enough so when we have enough interest in that section, we can move on with that. SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We're budgeting and

14 spending between \$200,000 and \$250,000 every year 15 16 on roads, just maintenance and paving itself. So, that's just routine year-to-year maintenance. 17 That comes under the highway budget. 18 This is, as Cossie 19 said, something really big or Shattuck -- or a 20 piecemeal of that phase four which goes from 21 Shattuck out to Gosling, and that will be down the 22 road somewhat. 23 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Has anyone complained about

1 the --2 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Wait a minute, we have a 3 person at the microphone. Larry? PUBLIC SPEAKER: Larry Wall, 110 Nimble Hill 4 5 This Article is down \$5,000 from the past Road. 6 year. And why is that? 7 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: When we put the warrant 8 articles together every year, Larry, we try to 9 level upon them as best we can, so we do some 10 balancing back and forth. We're actually up 11 \$6,000, I thin, \$300 total warrant articles, not 12 counting the operating budget from last year. So. 13 we added a couple of new ones in. The fire asked 14 for some extra funds, recommended and budgeted. 15 They do that -- they are getting closer on the ambulance. We put a couple of new capital reserve 16 funds in. So, it's mostly just a balancing act, 17 you know, what do you want to take in -- put in and 18 19 take out. 20 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Then I'll take you on for a 21 I'm including the increase in the town second. 22 budget, the operating budget, and there's an 23 increase overall in all the budgets if all articles

1 are accepted, it will be \$292,156. Now, that 2 includes the town operating budget which was, I 3 think it was \$184,156 over last year. The reason why I'm raising why are you 4 5 dropping \$5,000 when Shattuck Way is in such 6 deplorable shape right now, it's terrible. Now, 7 the reason why I say that is, I drive that with the snow plow. And those manhole covers -- even though 8 9 the sewer department has fixed those on River Road, this year, this past month, within the last three 10 11 weeks, when the last storm came through, there are four manholes on there that that truck hits hard. 12 And I got to the point where I was stopping, 13 lifting the plow, going over it and putting it back 14 15 down again. That road has to be repaired. The 16 right-hand side of the road going from the intersection of Exit 4 of the Spaulding, going back 17 in towards, if you wish, towards Simplex Wire and 18 19 Cable. I mean, that's always going to be Simplex 20 Wire and Cable. That right-hand side of the road 21 where the trucks travel, that has depressed way 22 down and has basically allocated itself -- I 23 wouldn't be surprised, to where it would fall out.

1	And the section from Patterson Lane to the top by
2	the power plant, that needs to be resurfaced
3	ground up and resurfaced right now. That is in
4	terrible, terrible shape.
5	And I, you say, you just stated that this is
6	part of to help resurfacing it, and yet you are
7	dropping \$5,000. I think that \$5,000 should be put
8	back in. I'm not making an amendment, but I think
9	that \$5,000 should not be taken out. That road
10	\$126,000 just to do that small section, I bet it
11	will eat this all up, if you use this only. And ${ t I}$
12	thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Larry, that section you
14	mentioned, from Patterson Lane up? That is in this
15	year's budget. I think we had that in the highway
16	budget, but we did have money left over from last
17	year, so we encumbered that section around \$81
18	\$80,000 that we encumbered from last year's budget
19	to finish that part of the road or to regrind
20	it, reset the manholes that we have. Some of them
21	some of those manholes don't belong to the sewer
22	department, they belong to the, I think, it's AT&T
23	or somebody.

- --- -

1	PUBLIC SPEAKER: No, those they are the
2	electric manholes. That one on the right-hand
3	side, halfway up that hill,
4	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Yep, yep.
5	PUBLIC SPEAKER: that one's been wrong from
6	day one. Now, we've got the one at the very bottom
7	of the hill
8	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Right.
9	PUBLIC SPEAKER: right in the intersection
10	of Patterson Lane and by the way, just to let
11	you know one other thing, the road coming off of
12	Bean's Farm, up there at the medical center, right
13	at the bottom of road as it curves around from
14	River Road to Patterson Lane, that manhole is now
15	up, too.
16	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yes, I saw that. Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: They won't be taken care
18	of this year. So
19	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Excuse me, John, one more if
20	I may. What is the cost of doing just that section
21	of road, just now that you have an idea of what
22	we could might have.
23	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: We have \$81,000 we

1	were going to start with where we ended out the
2	last time where the Asia was and move our and
3	move towards the intersection of Patterson lane.
4	But, because we had such a bad problem from part of
5	Patter on the other side of Patterson Lane up
6	the hill, because of problems we've had this year
7	with that section, we are going to redo that whole
8	section there.
9	PUBLIC SPEAKER: My question to you is, what
10	was the have you got an estimated cost yet on
11	doing that?
12	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Yes, we encumbered the
13	money, \$81,000 something dollars.
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER: That's all it's going to take
15	to do that hill?
16	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Yep.
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I have my doubts about that.
18	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: It's already contracted,
19	so
20	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We've got, yeah, we've
21	already got a bid on that, Larry, and we've signed
22	it. And that's the amount of money we encumbered
23	for it.

1	I'd like to answer one other thing Larry
2	mentioned to us, when he was giving the numbers,
3	since this budget had very little discussion. But,
4	in the operating budget, yes, it is up a couple of
5	hundred thousand, but there's a \$72,000 grant in
6	there that if we don't get the money, we don't
7	spend, so that's a wash. And there's another grant
8	that was for the communications. And how much
9	is the other one?
10	MS. MARCONI: \$12,000.
11	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Another \$12,000 for the
12	HDC which is refundable.
13	THE MODERATOR: Yes.
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER: John Frink, Nimble Hill Road.
15	I just want to take the opportunity to thank the
16	town for the town garage, it's beautiful. I didn't
17	have any idea that it would work out as well as
18	it's worked out. It's a beautiful facility and
19	it's a joy to work up there, so thank you.
20	And the other thing is, when a person actually
21	tries to fix the area of Shattuck, and I know
22	there's other roads in town people are more
23	interested in, but the area from Patterson Lane to

1	the top of the hill, the substructure of that road
2	is actually the dirt that you go to put your
3	cold patch in is, like, exploding. So, I think
4	that it will be very important for someone to do
5	not only the grinding, but also the excavation to
6	see whether the electrical or whatever it is
7	underneath there which carries water down, as we
8	all know, to the intersection of River Road and
9	Shattuck. Just make sure that that isn't just full
10	of water from a failure. Because it seems to me,
11	very plainly, that something is leaking under
12	there. And I'd hate to see I mean, there's a lot
13	of people who know a lot more about this than I do,
14	but I think something deep down there is wrong.
15	Okay. Thank you very much and thank the town.
16	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay, thank you. Is
17	there any more discussion on Article 15? If not,
18	you are voting to raise and appropriate the sum of
19	\$20,000 to be put in the existing Capital Reserve
20	fund for major road work. All those in favor say,
21	aye. Those opposed, no.
22	There are plenty of more seats down in front.
23	The people in the front row seats, neither side

• - •

-

1	excess money and, supposedly, all the police
2	departments in the state are going to get it for
3	radios. Does Newington qualify for any of it or
4	do you know of it?
5	PUBLIC SPEAKER: (Inaudible)
6	THE MODERATOR: John, if you are going to
7	answer would you come to a microphone, please.
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: John Treader, 71 Fox Point
9	Road. I am aware of that fund and we are in the
10	process of upgrading to portable radios. But, this
11	fund that I'm asking for the money for includes, as
12	you know, we're really computer-based now from cars
13	to our building, and if we have any little problems
14	it's very expensive, as you know. So, it just sets
15	a little bit of money aside in case of an emergency
16	repair, so
17	THE MODERATOR: Is there any further
18	discussion on Article 16? If not, I'll call for a
19	vote. You are voting to raise and appropriate
20	\$5,000 to go into the existing Capital Reserve Fund
21	for the police department radio and electronics
22	fund, and the Selectmen are named as agents to
23	expend it. All those in favor, say aye. Those

1	opposed, no. Motion carries, unanimous.
2	Article 17 to see if the Town will vote to
3	raise and appropriate the sum of \$50,000, to be
4	added to the capital existing Capital Reserve
5	Fund under the provisions of RSA 35:1, known as the
6	Langdon Library Building Fund with the Library
7	Trustees as agents. This Article is recommended by
8	both the Selectmen and the Budget Committee. And
9	it has a balance, as of December 31st, of \$50,000.
10	Do I hear a motion on Article 17? Moved Patty
11	Borkland, seconded by Liz Conner. Is there
12	discussion on Article 17?
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Steve Bush, 40 Hanna Lane.
14	And I am the Chairman of the Library Trustees. And
15	this \$50,000 is to go into the existing fund, of
16	which we've done a great deal of work over the last
17	year, but we have no real plans for yet. The in
18	the next year, we'll be doing a long range advisory
19	committee will be established, or a long range
20	advisory board. And we'll go through an actual
21	study of what in the community needs are for this.
22	We've done some work in terms of in the last
23	year, in terms of reviewing the existing building

-

AN 2 -

1	and what's available for it. And have no plan
2	right now in terms of what's going to be what's
3	going to be the happen in terms of next year.
4	Although we do have a time line of what we're
5	expecting to have to go through. In the back
6	you'll find a board which includes the facts for
7	this. Most everyone should have been communicated
8	with in one way or another on this. In the past
9	year, we've gone through a a study has been,
10	basically, or a survey's been held and has been
11	sent out. We've got responses for it and we've
12	taken those responses into heart. And this is
13	primarily just to continue with that effort.
14	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Patty?
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patty Borkland, Little Bay
16	Extension. I just wanted to say I'm a regular. I
17	love our library. I'm up there at least twice a
18	week. And I know that there, in that questionnaire
19	that they send out, there was a thought about
20	putting on an addition to the library, which I'm
21	opposed to. But, I wanted to, as a Library trustee
22	I was for 9 years, I believe there is close to a
23	million dollars in there. I can't remember the

18

1	remember the words for it. That has been a
2	trust fund that has been developed and grown over
3	the years. And I think that, if it's not going to
4	be this year, I understand that, and I know that
5	Scott needs a lot more to keep up technology wise
6	for the Library. And, again, I use it every week.
7	But, I hope that if they do think about a large
8	project that they would consider using a portion of
9	their trust funds, because that's really a chunk
10	and I think that the people that gave to it had
11	intended it for general use. Thank you.
12	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. We're not debating
13	whether there's going to be an addition or not.
14	This Article is just to appropriate \$50,000 to put
15	into this trust fund. Is there any further
16	discussion on this?
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Melissa Prefontaine, McIntyre
18	Road. We thank all the interest and the comments
19	regarding the library. We realize that this is not
20	a public forum for the library. We will be holding
21	public forums during the next coming year. We
22	would like the town to consider additional money
23	for the library to continue our research. Keep in

10 2 V2

-

1 mind that the library is 120 years old. It is a 2 historic building. It does require a significant 3 amount of work to keep it up and standing and 4 stable for another 120 years. There are no talks, at this point of an addition. We have had 5 architects, engineers, consultants come through. 6 7 They've assessed the building, they've assessed our 8 community needs. We will be giving all this 9 information to you. As Steven mentioned, there's 10 handouts and information at the back. We would 11 like all townspeople to be involved, all information is transparent. To answer Patty's 12 13 question, wherever she went to, there is a trust 14 fund; however, we found out that there's a very 15 limited amount that we can access by law. We are 16 in the process of trying to get information to see 17 if we can petition the State for more money through 18 the trust fund. We have done everything we can 19 possibly think of this year to try to figure out 20 what the library needs, how it can get money to have as little tax input -- impact on the town 21 22 coming forward. 23 Again, the money, as was mentioned, was to be

1	put into additional funds to continue looking at
2	what needs to be done. We've kind of paused at
3	this point simply because the building is 120 years
4	old, there has been some fixes that have happened
5	to the building to try to stop some deterioration.
6	That has failed at this point. While we are at a
7	position to do a more substantial fix to the
8	building to preserve its integrity for another 120
9	years, we thought that it would be in our best
10	interest to perform a fiduciary responsibility and
11	to look to see what else needs to be done.
12	One, the lending costs are low right now.
13	Two, the cost of building is low. We are not,
14	again, there's no plans right now. We're just
15	stopping to find out what needs to be done. The
16	plan is to try to involve every single person in
17	town over the next year to give their opinions, to
18	be involved. We hope, as trustees, to act as
19	liaisons between what the experts have said we need
20	and what the townspeople want. Again, today we're
21	just asking for more money to continue our efforts
22	to evaluate what needs to be happening for the
23	library. Thank you.

1	MODERATOR FLETCHER: All right. If there's no
2	more discussion is there any more discussion?
3	All right. I'll call for a vote. You are voting
4	to see if the Town shall vote to raise and
5	appropriate \$50,000 for the existing capital
6	reserve fund for the Langdon Library Building Fund.
7	All those in favor, say aye. Those opposed, no.
8	Motion carries.
9	Article 18, to see if the Town will vote to
10	establish a Capital Reserve Fund to establish a
11	Capital Reserve Fund under the provisions of RSA
12	35:1 for the purpose of construction and/or
13	improvements and their affiliated costs to the
14	Stone School, and to raise and appropriate the sum
15	of \$15,000 to be put in this fund known as the
16	Stone School Fund, and to name the Selectmen as
17	agents to expend from this fund. This is in
18	addition to the operating budget. This Article is
19	recommended by the Selectmen and the Budget
20	Committee.
21	Do I hear a motion on Article 18? Moved by
22	Vanni Braun; seconded by Gail Pare. Is there
23	discussion on Article 18? There being none, I'll

1 call for --2 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Excuse me. Dave Sweeney, 28 Fox Point Road. I'm curious, as a town, we have 3 4 neglected this building for 20 years. What are the 5 designated purposes for this money; what is this old Stone School going to be used for? 6 7 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We haven't got that far yet, Dave. When we took the building back from the 8 9 government, we had about an inch thick, legally 10 written document. It's a legal document, it's not 11 skinny. And one was just to preserve the building, 12 you know, keep it water tight, keep it preserved. 13 Now, if you recall, but about, I'm guessing, eight to ten years ago, we had a warrant article to bond 14 15 this building for about \$850,000. It actually had 16 a majority vote, but it didn't get the two-thirds 17 for the bond. We don't know yet. We put this fund out basically to make people aware that we do have 18 that building out there that don't know about it. 19 It is on the historical register. It is in tough 20 21 You're right. It's never really had a lot shape. 22 of money put into it. \$15,000 isn't going to do much, but maybe the front stairs, if that. We want 23

1	to get a little bit of a fund going and to raise
2	awareness from the people. And when we get more
3	money in there, down the road, so, maybe have the
4	opportunity to come in to take a look at it. I
5	can't say. Right now, the renovation they have is
6	another full building with kitchen, bathrooms, ADA,
7	you know, elevators, the whole thing. We have an
8	old town hall that's we did pass the bond that
9	same year, which is going to be able to do most of
10	those functions. But, we'd like to be able to get
11	people back in that building again, even if it's
12	the, you know, the pool tables and the ping pong
13	tables that the kids had years back.
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'd like to ask other
15	residents
16	THE MODERATOR: David, that's not this is
17	not the time.
18	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Then I will speak. In my
19	opinion, that building is historic and we should
20	not burden the town with repairing it. Is there
21	asbestos involved in that building, for renovation,
22	I would guess that there is.
23	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I don't know. We had

1 removed a lot of it. We've taken the oil tanks out already. So, people could go in if they needed to, 2 with an escort. I've been in with the HDC a couple 3 of years ago. Paint's peeling off the walls, it's, 4 5 vou know --6 PUBLIC SPEAKER: I know, because of neglect. 7 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Right. Why haven't you invited 8 PUBLIC SPEAKER: 9 someone who could use the building to come in and, at your discretion, use the facility and upgrade 10 11 it? You know, there are a lot of non-profits that 12 might consider doing that and the building would get maintained and used. Unlike what we've done to 13 14 the old town hall, which was to put a bunch of elevators and multiple toilets in a, you know, a 15 third the size of the original building. 16 It seems 17 that a lot of the construction that occurs is very wasteful and not very well thought out. So, maybe 18 19 take a different approach and look at someone doing the upgrade for you so they can use it. 20 THE MODERATOR: Justin. 21 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Justin 22 Richardson, 32 Old Post Road. Sorry, I threw my 23

1	back out coughing on Monday and it's hard for me to
2	
3	THE MODERATOR: Can you take it off.
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yep.
5	MODERATOR FLETCHER: There.
6	PUBLIC SPEAKER: All right. The my
7	question, I guess, is for the Selectmen because, as
8	read this Article, it's unclear to me whether the
9	Capital Reserve Fund would include engineering or
10	architectural work to evaluate, you know, the
11	potential uses and directions the building would be
12	taken in. And if not, I would think it would be
13	appropriate to add that purpose to the capital
14	reserve fund.
15	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: It does say affiliated
16	costs, Justin. And the other, even like the town
17	garage engineering came out of that Capital Reserve
18	Fund, I believe the old town hall did, too. I
19	don't think we are going anywhere for a couple of
20	years with this, at least, until we have got, you
21	know, a few more dollars in it. And I don't think
22	15 will get you much. This is a small amount, just
23	to start rolling the ball a little bit. We've been

- -

1	asked for the last three or four or five years form
2	people who are interested preserving that building,
3	if we could please start a fund or do another bond.
4	And we already got a bond. We don't do too many
5	more than one at a time. Affiliated cost would
6	cover any engineering and architectural, but this
7	money isn't going to even do that this year.
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. I think it's
9	helpful to have that in the minutes of this meeting
10	before the vote's taken, in case that question is
11	raised again later. Thank you.
12	THE MODERATOR: Is there any further
13	discussion? If not, I'll call for a vote, to see
14	if the Town will vote to establish a capital
15	reserve under the provisions to fund the to be
16	known as the Stone School Fund in the amount of
17	\$15,000. And this will be in addition to the
18	operating budget. All those in favor, say aye.
19	Those opposed, no. Motion carries.
20	Article 19, to see if the Town will vote to
21	raise and appropriate the sum of \$5,000, under the
22	provisions of RSA 35:1, to be placed in the
23	existing Capital Reserve Fund known as the

1	Recreation Facilities and Equipment Fund. This
2	article is recommended by the Selectmen and the
3	Budget Committee. And as of December 31st, it had
4	\$24,485 in it. Do I hear a motion? Moved by John
5	Klanchesser, seconded by Patty Borkland. Is there
6	discussion on article 19?
7	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yes. John Frink, 272 Nimble
8	Hill Road. I know it's not a big amount of money
9	here, but the recreation fund has \$24,485 in it?
10	What are we buying here? I see things happening at
11	South Newington, so maybe there's something I don't
12	know about, but I'd just like a little
13	clarification on that.
14	THE MODERATOR: Recreation?
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Brian Haberstroh, Little Bear
16	Road. The amount that we have in there right now
17	is \$26,000 and what not. This purpose yes, it
18	is small, it was recommended by the Selectmen. The
19	money that we are forecasting for this is
20	replacement of major equipment and/or fields.
21	Major equipment would be something like, the school
22	has a playground facility, that's a major expense,
23	that expense those playground equipment are very

.

1	expensive. They are, like, \$50,000 to replace
2	that. And they last only so long before they rust
3	out and before people start saying it's now a
4	hazard. They are inspected every two or three
5	years by a playground inspector. So, that is
6	probably the most the use would be mostly for
7	replacement of the large swing set playground
8	equipment, the whole entire package. They are
9	called play sets now, and/or field maintenance, et
10	cetera.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patty Borkland, Little Bear
12	Extension. I, too I think I know where John is
13	going on this. I think there are granite posts in
14	that new playground I'm seeing put over next to the
15	Court on South Newington. And we all went, oh, my
16	goodness, Scott and I did, granite posts, was there
17	any reason why it's granite, there's something
18	about granite. Granite posts were used for a
19	playground. Thank you.
20	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Brian Haberstroh. Do you
22	want me to answer that?
23	THE MODERATOR: Well, not particularly, but

-

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Well, we did -- we did make a 1 2 conscious decision of what type of posts to use. 3 Being -- having put many fences in myself and other 4 people. We strictly put in granite for a reason. The reason we put in granite because is because of 5 maintenance. We don't want to maintain it. 6 Having 7 the wood off the ground, which are the side posts, 8 they won't rot. Our feeling is, put it in once and 9 maybe let's revisit this in the next 30, 40 years. 10 We shouldn't have any maintenance whatsoever on it. 11 So, that's our choice on using granite. A little 12 upfront cost, a lot more -- zero maintenance. And if anyone -- when we look at all these costs that 13 14 we have, you know, that are a burden with the town, 15 labor is one of the most expensive portions and 16 it's also a portion that will always increase. So, 17 in saying that, we used granite as a municipality 18 thought, that we don't want to burden our future 19 taxpayers, including ourselves, later on with this 20 project. 21 THE MODERATOR: Okay. Is there any further 22 discussion? 23 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Dave Sweeney. Brian, did I

1	catch that correctly, what you said, was your
2	company or were you personally involved in that
3	construction? What company did the work?
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'm not sure what you're
5	asking, how (inaudible)
6	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Well, I'll give you an
7	example of how the town might save a little bit of
8	money here. We've had people ram the gates up at
9	the end of Nimble Hill Road. If you take that
10	steel and bend it, and we have employees here who
11	can do that, you can make posts that last forever.
12	Let's try and do a little bit of recycling. And
13	also, if we spend such huge amounts of money on
14	playground equipment, is it being maintained? Do
15	we go out and clean it, wax it or otherwise prevent
16	corrosion on it?
17	THE MODERATOR: Is there any further
18	discussion? If not, I'll call for a vote. You are
19	voting to see if the Town will vote to raise and
20	appropriate \$5,000 for the recreation for the
21	existing capital reserve known as the Recreation
22	and Facilities Equipment Fund. All those in favor,
23	say aye. Those opposed, no. Motion carries.

......

1	Article 20, to see if the Town will vote to
2	establish a Capital Reserve Fund under the
3	provisions of RS RSA 35:1, and to raise and
4	appropriate the sum of 15 did you have something
5	you wanted to say? Oh, okay. To see if the town
6	will I'll start again.
7	To see if the Town will vote to establish a
8	Capital Reserve Fund under the provisions of RSA
9	35:1 and to raise and appropriate the sum of
10	\$15,000 to be known as at Fox Point Resident
11	Residence Fund and to further name the Selectmen as
12	agents to expend from this fund. The purpose of
13	this fund will be for the construction and/or
14	improvements to the residence at Fox Point and
15	affiliated costs. This is in addition to the
16	operating budget. The Selectmen and the Budget
17	Committee recommended this article.
18	Do I hear a motion on Article 20? Moved Patty
19	Borkland; seconded by Gail Pare. Is there
20	discussion on Article 20?
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yeah, me again, John Frink.
22	Is there some reason why the Selectmen cannot use
23	the rent money from that building to actually keep

14

up with the repairs?

1

2 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Well, we budget -- in the 3 operating budget, John, there is a line that Fox Point that covers routine maintenance on that 4 building. The rent just goes into the General 5 Fund, so you could say it's kind of a wash or an 6 7 offset. This is more than that. That building is 8 in really bad shape. We have had the building 9 inspector looking at it. It's cold, it's drafty, it's poorly insulated, the wiring is old, little 10 11 salamanders live in the basement -- cute little things. It would take -- we've had contractors in 12 13 to look and to get this building where it should be, you are looking at about \$150,000. 14 15 We also looked at, you could tear it down and build a modular for about the same price. 16 So, this 17 fund gives us options of doing either. If you may recall, about, maybe six years ago, two out of the 18 19 three Selectmen voted to tear it down, get out of 20 the business of renting. All of a sudden, people 21 came out of everywhere, met with us and very 22 strongly said, no, we want to keep that building. So, I was the swing vote. I voted -- flip-flopped, 23

1	like a good Democrat, and changed my mind and said,
2	no, we'll keep the building. But it's going to be
3	very expensive to do that, if that's what the
4	people want.
5	So, again, like the Stone School, it's a
6	little bit of money. It gives us a little bit of a
7	chance to do some engineering well, we've
8	already done some or had people in looking at it.
9	But it's really to raise awareness of the people,
10	if you really want that building, be prepared to,
11	you know, kick in some big bucks. So, that's
12	really what's behind this.
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Can I speak
14	again?
15	THE MODERATOR: Not before somebody else does.
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Oh, I'm sorry.
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Gail Pare, Little Bear Road.
18	I think, among other things, when we discussed this
19	this in the past, a lot of people were in favor of
20	having a building down there because it's nice to
21	have someone in residence on the property looking
22	after the whole place, just being eyes on it. The
23	other thing I wanted to point out, again, that

1	structure is, in fact, has some history to it.
2	It's the last remaining part of the Woodbury
3	Langdon Mansion, so it's not just some decrepit,
4	old thing that has is falling down. It has a
5	sense of history to it, and it's worth preserving
6	because of its association with Fox Point over the
7	long term. Thank you.
8	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Is there further
9	discussion?
10	PUBLIC SPEAKER: If I may. It just seems that
11	if the rent money had been used to maintain that
12	building, then we wouldn't find ourselves in this
13	position. And I'm only bringing that up because
14	I'm afraid this will become an issue where the
15	people say, well, this is an expense to the town.
16	We have a trust fund, we have to spend this money.
17	And it would have been it would seem to me that
18	if the town had acted like a I know they don't
19	like to be landlords and actually put the money
20	back into the building as time went on, as they
21	received this rent money, then we wouldn't be in
22	this position. And I wanted to make sure that
23	everybody knows, I want that building to stay

1.00

there. Thank you.

1

SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We have put a lot of 2 maintenance into that building, John. I mean, I 3 4 think we would need a special warrant article to put a fund -- to put the rent money in to go back 5 6 just to that, specify it. But, we replaced that 7 furnace, some water lines, hot water heater, septic system. We probably put as much, if not more, in 8 repairs over the last few years as we've brought in 9 10 as rent, so you'd be borrowing against something else anyway. 11 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Justin Richardson, Old Post 12 13 Road, again. My question really relates to whether the purpose of this fund is too narrow because we 14 are establishing over the last four, five years so 15 16 many separate Capital Reserve Funds. I mean, this 17 will be, I guess, the second one for Fox Point --I'm not sure how many there are. But, I wonder, 18 would the town be better off having a, you know, a 19 20 Historic Building Maintenance Fund, you know, 21 rather than trying to put all this different money 22 in different buckets and, of course, if you only 23 have \$5,000 in one bucket for this building, but

1	you have \$50,000 in another bucket for a different
2	building, you can't use the other buckets, you
3	know, without going back to a town meeting. And I
4	just worry and maybe the Selectmen could explain
5	their thinking on this, why we don't just have
6	fewer capital reserve funds for broader purposes.
7	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Thanks, Justin. A while
8	back, our Budget Committee came to the Selectmen,
9	and they wanted more thought on what we do per
10	building and whatever. So, we keep the taxes
11	basically at a level plane, if we could, instead of
12	jumping them up and down if we have problems.
13	That's why capital funds like this are good,
14	because they specifically tell you which building
15	we are going to be doing and what moneys we have.
16	And with us putting money in every year, then
17	we know what we have, you know, when it comes time
18	four, five, six years down the road, what we have
19	there with the thought of doing it in the future,
20	so we don't have to have a big jump in the tax.
21	Case in point, Marks Pond, we have drainage
22	problems there that we have put money in that fund
23	for years, and now we've got the engineering going

Ì	and this going and that going, so it's going to be
2	minimal amount of money that we'll actually have to
3	take out of the operating budget. Did I answer
4	your question?
5	PUBLIC SPEAKER: No.
6	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: No. Okay. Basically,
7	what it amounts to is, you put them in you have
8	one fund, and you don't know where they are going
9	to use it, kind of which way the wind blows. At
10	least this way, we specify which buildings we're
11	going to work at.
12	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Our previous building
13	inspector had asked for something similar to what
14	you just said, Justin. Why don't you give me or
15	the town a big \$100,000 fund or so or more, to go
16	into major building and maintenance. You know, we
17	do have a fund that we established years ago, with
18	almost \$100,000 in it for major, unanticipated
19	building maintenance which we've drawn on
20	occasionally.
21	We have broken you know, we have
22	established Capital Reserve Funds, as Cossie just
23	said, as we use met we've dissolved them.

. . .

1	For instance, today was the Garage Fund. We had an
2	SCBA fund. We had the Mott Cove Drainage Fund.
3	Once that repair is done this year, we'll dissolve
4	that fund. We try to keep the tax level flat.
5	That's the purpose, if you want to dish out
6	\$250,000 for a fire engine all in one year, it's
7	expensive. You can do it, fund it a little bit at
8	a time. And if you notice your tax rate does keep
9	fairly flat, so we work hard at that.
10	PUBLIC SPEAKER: And I was really just not,
11	kind of asking for the rationale behind capital
12	reserve funds, but rather, why not have, instead of
13	\$250,000 split, you know, between five different
14	funds, you know, just put it all in one or two
15	funds with the broader stated purpose. But I don't
16	want to belabor
17	THE MODERATOR: I think that was answered. Is
18	there further discussion?
19	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Brian Haberstroh, Little Bear
20	Road. I think it would be unfair of me not to
21	share my opinions on the house. Now, first, I want
22	to say that the tenants we've had out there are
23	absolutely wonderful, very kind people. And it's

1	got a nice, subliminal message having an official
2	truck down there, which makes it look like there's
3	someone that, you know, is actually being hired to
4	stay there. So it has that.
5	The part now, having said that, the part of
6	this is this is I know that Gail has says
7	it's a type of historic; however, it's not on the
8	historic register. And if you look at the original
9	pictures of the building that was out there, which
10	was this enormous gable, this is part of the
11	bowing alley that is left over. So, we have and
12	we're collecting rent on this building and the rent
13	doesn't even pay for the upkeep. And then we,
14	because we're such a kind town, we give rebates
15	because we don't adequately insulate it to the
16	tenants to make sure that they don't pay too much
17	money during the winter months, which, you know,
18	the rent is very cheap. I think, is it \$1,200?
19	Something like that?
20	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: It's \$1,100. And for the
21	three winter months, I think we reduce that a few
22	hundreds dollars because the wind blows the
23	curtains, the kids are dressed in blankets and

1

2

everything else, so it costs them a lot of money to heat that building.

3 PUBLIC SPEAKER: And I think that says 4 something, you know, we're maintaining a building 5 and I'm guessing the only reason we, I don't -well, my personal opinion is, why keep a building 6 7 that's part of a bowling alley that's right smack 8 in the middle of the best piece of property in 9 Newington just to have, you know, a basic on-site service which -- that can be maintained in other 10 11 ways. And it could be, maybe if -- I would 12 entertain, if it's that important to have someone 13 out there, then maybe we have -- we relocate the 14 house. Or put up a modular at the entrance and 15 take that down and make that more of a viewing area 16 for the townspeople and the townspeople to come. 17 Because, in my opinion, it's a nonhistoric 18 building. It really doesn't hold any value, 19 long-term value, other than taking up a piece of 20 real estate which, not one of Newington's residents 21 can actually use it other than the tenants. Thank 22 you very much. 23 THE MODERATOR: It says the purpose will be

construction and/or improvement, so that could happen. Chris.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Christopher Cross, 327 Nimble Hill Road. I think this is too small an amount to bother to establish a new trust fund for something that is already in the operating budget. It should be handled there. I'm very leery of starting a trust fund for something that's going to be a major decision for the town as to whether they are going to stay in the rental business and have this building on with the expenses of the magnitude that the Selectmen have mentioned. So I'm, as I've said, I'm very leery of putting another one on.

THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion?

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Hello, Chris Bellmare, Little 15 Bay Road. I just have a quick question, the way 16 17 that this is worded. And it does, as you just mentioned, it does say for the purpose of 18 19 construction and improvements. Will that include 20 maintenance? The maintenance is not specifically written here. This sounds more like additions and 21 22 we're building on and adding to this, and I just 23 want to be sure that we are really talking about

Duffy & McKenna Reporting Associates 1-800-600-1000

62

1	maintaining this building and, yet, the word
2	maintenance is not in here, only building and
3	improvement.
4	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Routine maintenance is
5	under the operating budget, Chris. This would be
6	for, you know, major just like the library. If
7	they need to reshingle the roof, they'll take it
8	out of their operating budget. If they want to do
9	a major renovation on this building, the money
10	it's too much money for one year, so they're
11	putting money aside. And this is the same thing.
12	We do our routine maintenance. If something
13	breaks, you know, furnace breaks or water heater or
14	something like that, we just go fix it or replace
15	it. This is for major long-term. And, again, just
16	there's an ulterior motive for this, or subliminal
17	motive as somebody used, I believe earlier. It's
18	to get people's awareness, we have a building down
19	there. I mean, if someone wants to bring a warrant
20	or a petition next year to tear it down, you are
21	welcome to do that, too. This is to get some
22	discussion going, which we did. To get people
23	thinking about what do they want down there and,

\$15,000, again, isn't going to get you much, but it 1 2 gets people to think. THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion? 3 If not, I'll call for the vote on Article 20. You 4 5 are voting to establish a Capital Reserve Fund in the amount of \$15,000 for the construction and/or 6 7 improvement to the residence of Fox Point. This 8 will be in addition to the operating budget. All 9 those in favor, say aye. Those opposed, no. Okav. 10 We're going to have a hand vote, because I can't decide. All right. I need of couple of helpers, 11 12 Lynn and Mary, would you be the tellers. And the 13 tellers, also, when you do the -- when you do the counting, don't forget to include your vote, okay? 14 All right. All those in favor of Article 20, 15 please raise your hand. And put it up high, so it 16 17 can be counted. 18 PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'm short. 19 THE MODERATOR: And did you get -- you need to 20 get the people up on the stage also. 21 TELLER: 36. 22 TELLER: 22. 23 TELLER: 22 and 36 (inaudible).

1	MODERATOR FLETCHER: For the two of you?
2	TELLER: Yes.
3	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. All right. Those
4	opposed?
5	TELLER: A total of 27.
6	THE MODERATOR: 27 total. Yes?
7	TELLER: (Inaudible)
8	THE MODERATOR: Okay. Motion carries. 58
9	yes, 27 no.
10	Article 21, to see if the Town will vote to
11	raise and appropriate the sum of \$5,000, to be
12	placed in the existing Expendable Trust Fund under
13	the provisions of RSA:19-A for the purpose of
14	payments of severance to town employees in
15	accordance with the town's personnel policy. This
16	Article is recommended by the Selectmen and the
17	Budget Committee. And as of December 31, there was
18	a balance of \$129,732.
19	Do I hear a motion on Article 21? Moved by
20	John Klanchesser, seconded by Granville Knox. Is
21	there discussion on Article 21?
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Larry Wall, Nimble Hill Road.
23	When I was chairman of the Budget Committee. We

1	increased this because of the ruling out of the
2	state for the retirees that were in the state
3	retirement system that there was an object of
4	coming back through and taking their overtime and
5	stuff, which hadn't been paid. In other words,
6	there was going to be a penalty to the towns for
7	some of their employees. Now, that's to the town,
8	not to the employees, but when they retire. I
9	thought we were somewhere at this figure, \$129,000
10	when we did that. Have we expended a lot of funds
11	out of there since then?
12	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yeah, we've had a couple
13	of big retirements. We had the Building Inspector
14	and the Fire Chief, and both had an extremely high
15	number of accumulated vacations days that we had to
16	pay off. You can carry 30 days over plus whatever
17	days you earned during a year, which is up to 15 or
18	20. So it's probably 20, I'm guessing, 20 weeks of
19	salary came out of that for two people.
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: But that didn't
21	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We haven't put anything
22	in in the last two or three years.
23	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yeah, I realize that. It's

.

been since we put that in --1 2 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right. 3 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I'm trying to remember how 4 much money, and I thought --SELECTMAN O'REILLY: \$100,000 I thought we put 5 6 in. 7 PUBLIC SPEAKER: That's what I was thinking, 8 -9 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: You know, we --10 PUBLIC SPEAKER: -- \$100,000 in that. And we 11 only had, like, \$40,000 --12 SELECTMAN O'REILLY Yup. PUBLIC SPEAKER: -- in it at the time. 13 So, 14 we've only dropped this about 12 or \$15,000. We 15 really haven't brought that number down. And at the time, you and I got into a discussion, Jack, 16 17 and I'm just going to bring it out in the open a little bit. That once we hit \$100,000 we'd bring 18 19 it back up and bring it back in. Are we expecting 20 retirements this year that's going to drop that below that figure? 21 22 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: There's a few -- well, depending on our liability, I think there's three 23

or four right now in town that could go out on full 1 retirement. 2 PUBLIC SPEAKER: It's a possibility --3 4 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: So, you are charged --5 and the 15 percent hasn't gone away yet. I think there's a bill this year to try to get rid of that 6 7 penalty. If it does, then we could look -- take another look at this down the line. 8 9 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. I was just -- just was wondering why we are -- all of a sudden, we're 10 11 bringing it back in when we hadn't got it under the \$100,000 you and I had talked about in the past. 12 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Once that -- I think that 13 possible liability goes away, that 15 percent rule 14 15 -- penalty, whatever you want to call it, or is it 16 25 percent, 25 percent. Then we can probably drop 17 -- let this drop again for a few years. THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion? 18 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Chris Cross, Nimble Hill 19 Road. A related question. In our annual report, 20 21 the auditors report cites particularly, something 22 that's related to this warrant and that is, it states that, "The liabilities, net assets and 23

1	expenses of the govern activities are not
2	reasonably determinable" and, therefore, they take
3	exception in their audit report for that reason.
4	And they cite that, "Management has not recorded a
5	liability for other post employment benefits for
6	which the town is liable in their govern activity."
7	I'd like to know if the Selectmen are going to take
8	action to remove this problem with the audit report
9	certifying our town report.
10	MS. MARCONI: It isn't that they do suggest
11	that we do it. Our auditors suggest we think about
12	it, so
13	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: All right. This is some
14	of the new financial accounting laws apparently,
15	Chris, that I'm not real up on. The GASB, I don't
16	know if you've heard that initials, whatever you
17	call it. It's a difference in opinion between our
18	banking and our auditors. We have not had the
19	face-to-face debrief with the auditors yet. We do
20	go through all this and look at suggestions, which
21	is probably what this is. More to come on that.
22	That's all I can say right now. I really can't
23	give you a full explanation because it's up here

)	
1	somewhere. But, we'll look into it, at least.
2	THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion?
3	If not, I'll call for a vote. All those in favor
4	of for voting to raise and appropriate the sum
5	of \$5,000 for the purpose of severance to town
6	employees. All those in favor, say aye. All
7	those opposed, no. Motion passed.
8	Now, we are going to take a 10-minute break
9	and I hope that people will avail themselves to all
10	that delicious food out back. And there's Girl
11	Scout cookies out front. So, at 12:10, please be
12	back in your seats.
13	(A break was taken.)
14	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Will you please resume
15	your seats? That was a long ten minutes. I need
16	everybody in their seats and ready.
17	Okay. It has been asked that the articles
18	the Articles 22, 23 and 24 be changed and, as
19	Moderator, I have the power to change them. And
20	I'm going to put Article 24 first. And then,
21	because the other two will be moot points, if that
22	is accepted. So, I'm going to go to Article 24.
23	Article 24 oh, and this is going to be a

1	paper ballot. So, when it comes time for the vote,
2	it will be paper ballots and I will need my ballot
3	clerks up here for that.
4	Okay. Article 24, by petition from Patty
5	Borkland and at least 25 registered voters from
6	Newington, to see the Town of Newington is opposed
7	to construction of a Pavilion at Fox Point, as
8	presented to the Newington Planning Board on
9	November 3, 2011. If this Article is passed, the
10	town shall not expend funds for construction of a
11	proposed Pavilion as provided by RSA 32:10 I
12	Roman Numeral I, I guess it is, and E.
13	So, do I hear a motion on Article 24? Moved
14	by Mike Marconi; seconded by Justin Richardson.
15	Is there discussion on Article 24?
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Justin Richardson
17	again, 32 Old Post Road. I drafted Article 24,
18	working with a couple of other people that were at
19	the Planning Board's hearing in November of last
20	year. As we were going through the discussions, a
21	number of us were concerned that it just didn't
22	seem to make sense to move forward with this, the
23	Pavilion, that had been proposed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

We heard from members of the Planning Board during the hearing, that they didn't think that the project was a good idea. The Selectmen stated that their personal view was that they kind of shared that. And -- but, everyone seemed to feel like they were trapped because the proposal had been presented and so, you know, the prior Committee work, and I understand that, you know, there's different sides to this issue. But, that because the proposal was for, you know, let's just get this thing built and over with because that's what the town wanted.

And, we looked back at this and there really 13 14 hasn't been a definitive vote or statement by the 15 town body as a whole. We've voted money into this funds over the years, but when you look at what was 16 17 done in 2003, when the article, the Capital Reserve Fund was established, it was to put a kitchen and 18 bathroom facilities in. And there was an amendment 19 in 2007 that changed the wording a little bit, but 20 it came back to the same point. Let's build 21 something that has a kitchen and bathrooms because 22 there are these, you know, functions, like, 23

1

2

3

4

SeptemberFest that we have out there. Obviously, there are things like weddings, and I don't want to go through whether that is the purpose of this or not.

5 But, we were looking at a facility that wasn't 6 going to really accomplish that. It's essentially, 7 in my view, and maybe it's other people feel 8 differently, we are going to spend, from what I understand, \$170,000 for, basically, a nice 9 structure. But, it's just going to be a roof over 10 some picnic tables. And that's -- that's a lot 11 money for something that isn't really going to 12 13 serve the need for which the fund was created and 14 it's purpose.

15 And, why do we need, as a town, to spend this 16 money to have a roof over picnic tables? Because, 17 you know, if the weather's bad and it's raining out, you know, we don't really need to have a 18 function out there. I mean, no one's going to want 19 to be under the picnic -- on the picnic tables. If 20 21 the weather is nice, you know, we can have picnic 22 tables out underneath the trees. It's a fantastic 23 setting, and we don't need to spend a lot of money

to do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

We do have very significant needs, throughout the entire town. You know, we have the facility right there at Fox Point, the residence. And it's great having a caretaker there, especially the current caretaker who is a, you know, a fish and game conservation officer.

We have, you know, the Carriage House. We have the boat launch, I mean, excuse me, the docks, well, the boat launch, the docks. I was out there last year and I think I noticed, or maybe the Selectman had found it first, I can't remember. You know, a piece of the big steel structure that links those docks together. I mean, that dock is old. And we're going to have to spend money on that. You know, we're looking at the Stone School, I mean, this is -- these are problems that are not going to go away.

What will happen though, is if we spend \$170,000 to build this roof, and then if we improve it to add the kitchen and bathroom facilities, we're still going to have to keep the Carriage House alive because that is a beautiful looking

1	structure. Whether we use it on the inside, you
2	know, that's a complicated question. I don't know
3	the answer to it.
4	But, the same things true for the Stone
5	school. We have a lot on our table. And building
6	a new facility is going to add another maintenance
7	obligation, and I think that it's a mistake. But,
8	ultimately, what I'm hoping comes out of this, is
9	that today is the day for the town, as a whole, to
10	just let the Selectmen, let all the other boards
11	and committees know whether this whether any
12	Pavilion is what the town wants. Is this the
13	direction we want to go in.?
14	And, honestly, if that's what the vote is
15	coming out today, I'm not really so much trying to
16	stop the Pavilion. I want to say my say and I
17	don't think it's a good idea. But, if the town
18	speaks, you know, at this meeting and says yes,
19	let's do it. In other words, if the town defeats
20	this Article, I think that, yeah, let's move
21	forward. And I think, you know, we don't have to
22	have more debate or discussion. So, it's really
23	you know, this is the watershed moment that I was

hoping we would have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And that, towards that end, one issue came up when I met with the Selectmen a couple of months ago to ask about how this meeting would play itself out. Because I know -- I didn't want to have to have the debate about whether we build the Pavilion, take place in the context of whether we add the Selectmen as agents or whether we put more money in the fund, because those aren't really procedurally suited to the discussion of what should we do at Fox Point. Should we have this Pavilion or not.

13 And it was pointed out to me by the Selectmen, 14 and by others, that the way the Article is worded 15 and it currently reads, "To see if the town of Newington is opposed to construction of a Pavilion 16 at Fox Point as presented to the Newington Planning 17 Board on November 3, 2011." And that that could be 18 19 construed to mean that if the Pavilion was changed a little bit, this warrant article wouldn't have 20 21 any effect, and that really wasn't what was 22 intended. 23 So, with the leave of the floor of the town

1	meeting, obviously, which has the final say, I'd
2	like to suggest and I'd like to move that we amend
3	this Article to eliminate the language, "As
4	presented to the Newington Planning Board on
5	November 3, 2011," so it's clear that we are just
6	taking a vote to find out if the town wants to
7	build, you know, whether this Pavilion or a slight
8	variation on it.
9	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Justin, any amendment has
10	to be put in writing and given to me.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay, I will do that. I have
12	a copy here. I have some extra copies, if people
13	in the back of the room want to read it. And with
14	that, I'll just close because I don't have really
15	anything further to say, and I really look forward
16	to hearing what other people say on both sides of
17	the issue. Thank you.
18	MODERATOR FLETCHER: We are going to wait
19	until we go through the amendment.
20	I have been presented with an amendment. It
21	says, "To see if the town is opposed to
22	construction of a Pavilion at Fox Point," and then
23	the rest of it is crossed out. If this Article

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

passes, the town shall not expend funds -- funds for construction of a proposed Pavilion as provided in RSA 32:10 Roman Numeral I, I guess, E. Is there a second to that amendment? Okay. Seconded by David Sweeney. Is there discussion on the amendment?

Brian Haberstroh, Little Bay PUBLIC SPEAKER: I think it's very selfish and to even Road. present that amendment as stated. And the reason why I think that, is because the warrant article that was created in 2003 was for a Pavilion. Nothing more. It was to replace what -- the Mott 13 House that was going to be removed. And year after year after year, the Pavilion money was added to this Pavilion Fund at town meeting, and I don't think it's ever once failed.

So, for this to come to a head and for the 17 18 towns people to build a Capital Reserve Fund for 19 the purpose of a Pavilion, to be presented with a 20 warrant article that says, okay, well, we built 21 money, we already have the money in a Capital 22 Reserve Fund, and now we don't want to -- I want to 23 present a warrant article and amend it to say,

1	let's axe it completely and then give that money
2	back to the general fund. I don't actually, I
3	don't think that warrant article could do that, but
4	I just think, I'm sure that this will pass for,
5	vote and I don't know what will happen after that.
6	But, I just want to say to all the people who
7	have voted the last 8 years for that Pavilion Fund
8	who, some are here, some are not. That, if this
9	passes, this will be a real shame and a real blow
10	to the people who have put time and energy into
11	this effort to make something nice for the people
12	of Newington. Thank you.
13	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Is there any further
14	discussion on the amendment? Just the amendment.
15	All right. All of those
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: (Inaudible). Just a quick
17	Paul Wynn, Patterson Lane. Just to clarify here,
18	"To see if the town of Newington is opposed to
19	construction of a Pavilion at Fox Point." A yes
20	vote, shoots this down. A no vote, is for it.
21	THE MODERATOR: Correct.
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. I just wanted to make
23	sure everybody understood that.

1 THE MODERATOR: No, wait a minute. To see --2 wait a minute. A yes vote means that they do not 3 want it. 4 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right. PUBLIC SPEAKER: 5 No, no. MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, for this amendment, 6 7 Okay. All right. All right. All right. "To no. see if the town is opposed to construction of a 8 Pavilion at Fox Point." 9 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Ruth, a point of order. 10 11 THE MODERATOR: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, 12 wait. Yes? PUBLIC SPEAKER: A point of order. 13 14 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. PUBLIC SPEAKER: I believe, and I've sat in on 15 16 another town meeting in another town running the 17 sound system for them. And that Moderator at that town said, a negative motion, a negative petition 18 19 is not permited. The State Attorney General has 20 ruled against that in the past. 21 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, okay. PUBLIC SPEAKER: That needs to be checked. I 22 don't know that, and if there's a lawyer here that 23

1	could answer that, but I remember that Moderator
2	saying that and that bugs me.
3	THE MODERATOR: Well, all right. Hmm. All
4	right. That would really change the that would
5	really change Article 24, this amendment would;
6	Correct? Okay. So, I'll call for a vote on the
7	amendment. Do you want to amend do you want to
8	keep amendment or pitch it? All those in favor of
9	this amendment, say aye. Right, wait. Okay.
10	To see if the town of Newington is opposed to
11	construction of a Pavilion at Fox Point. If this
12	Article passes, the town shall not expend funds for
13	construction of the proposed Pavilion as provided
14	by RSA 32:10 et cetera.
15	So, we can just take this amendment out, if
16	that's your choice, and go with the original
17	article or we can I don't know about whether a
18	negative is allowable or not, so I can't tell you.
19	Yes?
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Gary Kadaff, 6 Marsh Road.
21	MODERATOR FLETCHER: But
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I believe
23	MODERATOR FLETCHER: We're just trying to sort

1 this out, Gary. So, what? 2 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Moderator, I'm trying to sort it out as well. I think there's confusion on the 3 floor, whether we are voting on, as you read it, on 4 5 Article 24 or just the amendment. I think we need 6 to --7 THE MODERATOR: We are voting on the amendment. 8 9 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yes, you read -- you read 10 for the call on the vote, which actually reads as 11 Article 24 --MODERATOR FLETCHER: Well, I'm -- it has to be 12 a vote on the amendment. 13 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Correct. So, can we --14 THE MODERATOR: So, we are voting on the 15 16 amendment only. 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: So can we have a reading again, and maybe Justin can help us here, reading 18 19 again as to what specifically the amendment is and bifurcate it from the language in Article 24. 20 21 THE MODERATOR: The, briefly, the amendment 22 is, "as presented to the Newington Planning Board." 23 That's the only thing that it takes out of it. "As

1 presented to the Newington Planning Board on November 3, 2011." That's the only thing taken 2 3 out. So, it would, the Article would read the same, 4 except that bit about as presented to the Newington 5 6 Planning Board. Okay? So, it's, a yes vote would 7 be just to -- for that little bit of information, "As presented to the Newington Planning Board on 8 November -- on November 3, 2011," that's all it 9 would take out. 10 11 PUBLIC SPEAKER: May I offer a suggestion for 12 the Moderator? MODERATOR FLETCHER: Yes. 13 14 PUBLIC SPEAKER: To call a vote on the motion to amend. Let's --15 THE MODERATOR: That's what I --16 17 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. MODERATOR FLETCHER: -- was trying to do. 18 19 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Right. Well, we keep rereading the amendment, but ---20 21 MODERATOR FLETCHER: I know it. PUBLIC SPEAKER: -- I think what we need to 22 23 say is, we're calling a vote on the motion to

1 amend. 2 MODERATOR FLETCHER: No. The motion to amend, just takes out the Newington -- as presented to the 3 Planning Board and the date. 4 5 PUBLIC SPEAKER: (Inaudible) 6 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Do you want -- yes. 7 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Just for a little clarification. Just because you want to vote for 8 9 the amendment or not for the amendment, you still 10 have another vote on --11 THE MODERATOR: Yes --12 PUBLIC SPEAKER: -- on the warrant article. 13 MODERATOR FLETCHER: -- absolutely, yes. This 14 is just on the amendment. Okay. 15 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Ruth, I have a question. I just have to ask Justin, what's the reason behind 16 17 your amendment? PUBLIC SPEAKER: As I -- I quess I didn't 18 19 explain it very well. The idea was, actually, in 20 response to the discussions we had at that meeting 21 back in, I guess it was in December, after this had 22 been presented, where there were concerns that the 23 amendment was written or, excuse me, the language

1	in the warrant article was written so narrowly,
2	that if someone just said, hey, let's change it,
3	make it, you know, 10 feet wider or 10 feet longer,
4	it would be a different project, and then this
5	article wouldn't have any effect. And, really,
6	what we were trying to do is to get at the basic
7	question, do we build or do we not build a
8	Pavilion. So, the that's the reason for making
9	or proposing this motion to amendment.
10	I apologize. I missed a little bit of what
11	Larry said a moment ago, about whether you could
12	have an article in the negative. And if I
13	understand correctly, what he's referring to is a
14	2010 or '11 decision by it came out of
15	Rockingham County, I believe, out cf Barrington and
16	it was Judge McHugh. And what that was is an SB2
17	town, where they said that the deliberative session
18	could not add a negative into the question to
19	change it to "not see", which would then prevent
20	the voters in the second section from being able to
21	do anything because all they could do is approve a
22	motion to not see, which would have no effect.
23	That's this is a totally different

9	
1	procedural thing and the goal here is by this is
2	not to put a new purpose in, but just to clarify
3	that the purpose is to look at the Pavilion, you
4	know, that's really what the petitioners were
5	thinking when we came up with this proposal. Thank
6	you. And I apologize for going twice before others
7	have spoken.
8	THE MODERATOR: So this do you have
9	something pertaining to the amendment?
10	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I do, Brian Haberstroh,
11	again, Little Bay Road. My question is, is what's
12	the term on this, as amended? Right now it says,
13	in the amendment that we are being presented with,
14	it says that we shall not create a Pavilion,
15	period.
16	THE MODERATOR: No, no,
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Is there a term on that?
18	MODERATOR FLETCHER: no, Brian, that's not
19	correct. What we are voting on is just taking out
20	the words "as presented", you could put a period
21	after Fox Point.
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right.
23	THE MODERATOR: We're just taking out the

1	words "as presented to the Newington Planning Board
2	on November 3, 2011", that's all we are voting on.
3	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right. So, we're working on
4	the amendment to say this, "To see if the town of
5	Newington is opposed to the construction of a
6	planned Pavilion at Fox Point," period.
7	THE MODERATOR: That's correct.
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. And what's the term on
9	that? Is that indefinite?
10	THE MODERATOR: Yes.
11	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We
12	PUBLIC SPEAKER: So this could be
13	THE MODERATOR: Well, until it's brought up
14	again.
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Right.
16	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: This is more debating on
17	(inaudible). Our attorney that we contacted said
18	this amendment this warrant article is good for
19	one year. And, Justin, I think, confirmed that
20	with me.
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: That's absolutely true. You
22	can't (inaudible)
23	THE MODERATOR: Okay. All right. Now, is
10	

1	everybody clear on this amendment? It's just
2	taking the words out. And then we'll vote on the
3	other. Then we'll talk about the other, is that
4	okay? Everybody got that? Okay.
5	So, it's been moved and seconded that the
6	amendment has been approved has been moved and
7	seconded, to see if they'll take that little
8	section out, "As presented to the Newington
9	Planning Board on November 3rd 2011." All those in
10	favor, say aye. Those opposed.
11	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Madam Moderator, I
12	requested that this be a hand vote.
13	THE MODERATOR: I told you, you can request
14	and I make the decision.
15	So we're just crossing out that so take
16	your pens and pencils and whatever, and cross out
17	"As presented to the Newington Planning Board on
18	November 3, 2011."
19	Now, we are going to be voting, by petition
20	from Article 24, by petition from Patty Borkland
21	and at least 25 registered voters from Newington,
22	to see if the Town of Newington is opposed to
23	construction of a Pavilion at Fox Point. If this

1	Article passes, the town shall not expend funds for
2	construction of the proposed Pavilion, as provided
3	by RSA 32:10,I(e).
4	Do I hear let's see, that was the
5	discussion. Now, is there discussion on this? Now
6	before that sorry. Do we have a motion? Moved
7	by Patty; seconded by Vannie. I don't think Patty
8	should make that. Do I have a motion by somebody
9	else that we can accept? Gary Kadash, and seconded
10	by Vannie Braun. Okay. It's open for discussion
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Thank you. Before I even talk
12	about this, I want to thank Cos for Cos, we're
13	really going to miss you.
14	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Well, let's go to
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Then I want to thank
16	Justin and Bill for really, they are the ones
17	that did the really did the work on this
18	amendment. And I, you know, I just tagged along
19	and helped. And I just want to point out that the
20	three of us are three different generations and
21	three different financial standings, three
22	different, living in this town for how many years.
23	And it was really cool to come together with these

1	guys on a common goal. I really enjoyed it. I use
2	the Fox Point on a regular basis, and there's such
3	a wide variety of young and old and I see kids down
4	there and it's just we have such a gem down
5	there. And I believe that when the town purchased
6	it, it's for conservation and preservation. I also
7	love the old Carriage House, and I want us to
8	continue working on that in making it actually,
9	I spoke to Jack last week, continuing our work on
10	that, that beautiful building. I just see no
11	reason to have a permanent structure down at Fox
12	Point. If you are going to have a function, you
13	can rent a tent. You also have the Old Town Hall,
14	which, if you haven't been up there, honest to
15	goodness, go check that out. They did a beautiful
16	job on that. And not only just the building, but
17	you have the barbecue pit that's covered. And it's
18	
19	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Let's stick to Fox Point.
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. So, also as a member
21	of the Planning Board, we voted unanimously that we
22	did not want a free-standing structure built at Fox
23	Point. And that was unanimous and we did present
9	

1	that to the Selectmen. So, I hope that this
2	finally will come to a decision. Thank you.
3	THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion?
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Gary Kadash, 6 Mark Cove. I
5	would endorse all of that has been said here
6	earlier, other than perhaps some cf the stuff that
7	Justin gave us a confusion on on the amendment,
8	but I certainly support the arguments. I'd like to
9	shine a slightly different light on the issue.
10	Prior to my moving to Newington, I spent eight
11	years of my career as president of a large resort
12	in Northern Vermont. It was Stowe Mountain Resort.
13	We hosted numerous events, weddings, barmitzvahs
14	and whatnot. We had multiple large buildings,
15	permanent, and I can tell you almost to each event,
16	when people came to hold a wedding or a barmitzvah
17	or a special olympics, they wanted a slightly
18	different venue. They wanted the alter facing in
19	one direction, they wanted the alter facing in a
20	different direction and what we ultimately
21	defaulted to was erecting tents. And we erected
22	tents in the middle of winter in Northern Vermont
23	and had banquets in them because they didn't want
1	

1	to go into the existing structures because they
2	weren't quite the right size, in the right place,
3	facing the right orientation.
4	And so, while I commend the town, and I'm
5	proud to be part of a town that wants to enhance
6	these types of events, I would caution the town
7	that putting a permanent facility out there, you
8	probably can't get it right. We tried for eight
9	years. We spent not \$150,000, but we spent
10	millions of dollars trying to get the right venue
11	there, so I would support this amendment. This
12	article, sorry.
13	THE MODERATOR: Is there further discussion?
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Jim Wiener, 397 Fox Point.
15	One of the things I'd like to address, Brian
16	brought up a very good point which is, for the past
17	"X" number of years, there has been funds put into
18	the Fox Point Pavilion. When coming into this,
19	being someone new to town, I had been asking people
20	the questions of the question why. What are the
21	options: Can we do anything about renovations: Is
22	this the only thing that's been discussed? What I
23	got on a consistent basis was confusion, that

1	people didn't have all the information, that as of
2	last town meeting they were hoping that everyone
3	was going to be able to come back to this year's
4	town meeting, hear what was going on, and then be
5	able to make a decision.
6	There was a misunderstanding and, therefore,
7	with that said, I decided with my wife, with
8	Justin, with Patty, to get involved with this. And
9	everyone should have received a green flier in the
10	mail. I wanted to as everyone knows, town
11	politics can be rather interesting. And,
12	therefore, we wanted to try to put something out
13	that would very clearly state the issues and try to
14	do it in a very nonemotional fashion.
15	It was brought up to my attention that this
16	article was not signed. And I would love to say
17	that the former was the reason, but it simply was
18	because we did not think of it. And, therefore,
19	there was nothing more to be read into it that
20	that. I was asked to address this issue and it was
21	a pleasure to do so.
22	One last point. When dating my wife, I, as
23	like anyone when they first get married, Jennifer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

was from this town, born in Newington, and you ask
people, what was it like growing up? And the one
comment that Jen would consistently come up with
was, wide, open expanses. I heard the words. ${ t I}$
smiled. I really didn't understand what she was
talking about until I got to come, meet her
parents, and then be taken around the town and then
taken down to Fox Point, when I finally got to
understand what wide, open expanses meant.
So, with that said, I became adamant that I

was going to try to do my best to maintain that. And that having a Pavilion as a permanent structure would not suit that goal. Thank you very much.

14 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Maggie Connors, Coleman 15 Drive. The only point that I want to -- this is my first time around here. But, the only point I 16 wanted to make was that putting that free-standing 17 structure there is just another point of vandalism. 18 19 I don't know if everybody's aware of the vandalism that's been happening at the school lately. So 20 21 much so, that we had to put some funds towards 22 putting in cameras. Putting the structure down at 23 Fox Point is just going to make it that much more

1	easily accessible for another person, possibly the
2	same person, to vandalize another building, which
3	is going cost more expenses for us to have to pay
4	out to keep that upkeep. Thank you.
5	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Is there further
6	discussion?
7	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Yeah, I'm
8	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Wait just a second.
9	Okay. Go ahead, Paul.
10	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Paul Pellitier, Fox
11	Point Road. It would help me make up my mind,
12	because I haven't made it up yet, if the Selectmen,
13	the Select Board, could comment on what would
14	happen with the funds if the Pavilion is not
15	constructed; where would those funds be directed?
16	Could they be directed to the renovation of the
17	Carriage House, for instance?
18	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay.
19	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: That's what I was going
20	to talk about. Nice segway.
21	MODERATOR FLETCHER: He read your mind.
22	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: He did, he read and
23	it's not much to read, but it's an open book,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

whatever you want to say. Historically, about 9 years ago, 10 years ago, we were approached by the members of the Recreation Committee, who put on the SeptemberFest, we were renting a tent every year. Wouldn't it be nice if we could put up a permanent structure, some sort of Pavilion, that we can get in out of the weather. One time they talked 45, 60 feet, which is huge, about the size of this room, a little bit smaller. And we said, well, let's let the people decide. I mean, we weren't going to make that kind of a decision. And so, we put up a warrant article, established a fund, like we did today for a couple of issues, and it passed. And in subsequent years, we've added funds for that. One year someone put an amendment in to give

15 us a time limit to make a decision and make a 16 17 proposal, which was ruled later not to be a legal amendment. But, about three or four years into the 18 19 fund, for some reason I was in the Carriage House, looking around, walking through it and thinking, 20 what a beautiful building we already have here. 21 22 Wouldn't it be nice -- wouldn't it be nice if we maybe fix this up instead. 23

1	So, I proposed a change to the Capital Reserve
2	Fund purpose the following town meeting, to add in
3	building a Pavilion and/or renovate an existing
4	structure. I believe, and I'm counting on this, is
5	that if this Article is passed, it will only
6	eliminate the purpose of the Pavilion, it will
7	still leave the funds intact, that we could go and
8	work on the Carriage House.
9	We've already spent a quarter of a million
10	dollars on that building over the last five or six
11	years. Put a cement floor in, redid the roof,
12	shingles, and some other things. I would hope that
13	we would not dissolve this fund, but what it would
14	allow us is to continue on with some plans. I had
15	talked with Patty the other day I can envision a
16	wrap around porch from the left-hand side entrance,
17	where it's handicapped accessible, maybe 14,
18	16-feet wide, all screened in. You could go out,
19	maybe a couple of tables, you know, cook your
20	dinner, bring you down and keep out of the way of
21	the mosquitoes. And then, down the road, maybe
22	some nice French doors or something, some of you
23	might not like those, but some kind of shiny

1	doors that let a lot of light inside, maybe paint
2	the walls white. And even down the road maybe a
3	small bathroom with, not a septic system, but I've
4	seen, if you have ever been over the Great Center,
5	at the Great Bay Wildlife Refuge, they have a
6	composting, so where the tanks from the basement,
7	they just empty them out in the field. We've got
8	lots of field out there.
9	So, my own opinion, I'm only one of three, is
10	I'd like to continue with that building, use these
11	funds if so allowed, we right now, no one can
12	spend them because we don't have an agent, and
13	continue.
14	So, yes, I agree, I think most people would,
15	if we don't put up a Pavilion, let's make a nice
16	city use out of that building down there. It's a
17	nice structure. If you haven't been in, you've got
18	to go see it.
19	THE MODERATOR: Any further discussion?
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Madam Chairman, Michael
21	Marconi, 19 Coleman Drive, member of your Planning
22	Board. We've had many, many discussions on this
23	item, and the Planning Board voted unanimously, not

1	to do anything with that point, leave it the way it
2	is. The funds Jack just talked about definitely
3	could be used in the Carriage House and for the
4	Stone Building and for the tenant or whatever.
5	But, I think now's the time to make the decision,
6	folks. We really put a lot of time in this. Thank
7	you for your time.
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Denis Hebert, 20 Gundalow
9	Landing. I am on the Planning Board as well. One
10	of the things that the Planning Board does that
11	hasn't been brought up is looking at long-term
12	costs for the town. And we every time you add a
13	new building, it's more maintenance, it's more
14	people that you have to look at maintaining the
15	costs for. We have a lot of facilities right now
16	that are going unused. And that was part of the
17	reason why the Planning Board looked at that and
18	said, well, what are we doing? Why are we adding
19	more infrastructure when we're not doing enough for
20	the infrastructure that we already have in place.
21	It's a quarter of a million dollars, in and of
22	itself, it's not a lot. But, what's happening with
23	this town, with more and more roads being turned

99

1	over to us, in the future, in the very near future,
2	Woodbury Avenue is going to be turned over to us,
3	there's other roads as well that will be turned
4	over to us.
5	Our cost for operating this town with just the
6	things that we have, plus what we are going to get,
7	like it or not, keeps escalating. And we better
8	start looking at some of the things that maybe we
9	wanted to have it eight years ago when the tax
10	rates were down, but things are going up, costs are
11	going up.
12	And I've got to tell you, the Recreation
13	Committee and the Committee that puts this
14	together, they worked really, really hard at this.
15	And if nothing more, they need support in saying,
16	thank you very much for doing this, but maybe this
17	is not the thing to do now.
18	Unfortunately, that's the kind of thing
19	that's what happened over the change of years that
20	have come up. And the same sort of thing with any
21	new infrastructure, any new buildings that we've
22	got coming in, that are being proposed that, well,
23	maybe we want to do it. We need to keep in mind,

1	the cost for operating this town and how much it's
2	going to keep escalating, a little at a time,
3	almost imperceptible from year to year. But, when
4	you look back at it, just going back a few years,
5	when I first moved into this town, the budget was
6	under \$2,000,000 or thereabouts. I'm not sure if
7	I'm correct on that number, but my taxes was less
8	than half of what it is now, not even less than
9	half, it was way less than half of what it is right
10	now. And our costs keep going up.
11	We've got to start looking at our total cost
12	for operating this town. So just keep that in
13	mind, even though this is small, and it is a low
14	maintenance item, it's still one of those things we
15	are going to keep by adding more and more every
16	year, we keep adding to our tax rate. Thank you.
17	MODERATOR FLETCHER: But, you are still
18	getting a bargain. Okay.
19	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Chris Bellmare, Little Bay
20	Road. And more importantly, for this matter is
21	also on Rec. And for the eighth straight year, I
22	will stand here and tell you Rec has nothing to do
23	with this. Every year I stand here, I say this, it

1	comes up. Someone on Rec may have mentioned this
2	eight years ago from a request from a person at
3	SeptemberFest saying, hey, why don't we have
4	something here. This is not a Rec-sponsored
5	project. It was never submitted by Recreation. It
6	is not endorsed by Recreation. Recreation has zero
7	to do with this.
8	So, I want to speak on behalf of Rec and just
9	say, zero, nothing, we are not here. We have
10	nothing, nothing at all to do with this. I'll be
11	here next year, telling you again, Rec has nothing
12	to do with the fact that the Pavilion doesn't
13	exist. People still talk. I don't care
14	MODERATOR FLETCHER: We got it, Chris.
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: You got it? Okay.
16	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Now talk.
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: So, on the second part of
18	this, also unrelated to whether or not more
19	related to the Article, unrelated to whether or not
20	there's a Pavilion there, there's nothing to do
21	with whether there's a Pavilion there or not. I
22	have a concern of having a Capital Reserve Fund
23	that has been set up, being taken away through this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

process. And it has nothing to do with whether
it's for this fund or not. It is by killing
this Article, it doesn't build a Pavilion out
there. If the Pavilion was supposed to have been
built, it should have been built already. So, it
either can't be built for some reason and killing
this article doesn't build a Pavilion there.

And I think that there are other ways we can 8 address this. This is a, you know, my thoughts 9 10 are, that by doing this, we set a precedent. Mv 11 concern, my fear, is that a precedent gets set that when there's a Capital Reserve Fund established for 12 something that 26 people don't want, we can change 13 14 that and take it away. This year, it's the 15 Pavilion which, you know, good, okay. But, next year maybe it's a ambulance fund, maybe it's a 16 major road work, maybe someone looks through the 17 capital reserve pieces in here and say, hey, who 18 19 has the most money, and do we need that much money in there? What if we stopped investing and took 20 21 that money and applied it to something else. And 22 that's my concern with this, is that we're taking money that seven or eight years our townspeople 23

1	voted to put into this fund and are killing it.
2	Not so much about the Pavilion. I don't care. But
3	I just wanted to bring that issue up that, you
4	know, my concerns of the precedent we are setting
5	by doing this. Thanks.
6	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oh, okay.
7	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Dee Richardson, (inaudible).
8	I read the warrant article recently in 2003 when
9	the capital reserve was originally set up, and it
10	was actually, specifically amended to read that the
11	new structure being built under the capital reserve
12	would include a bathroom and a kitchen, which the
13	currently planned Pavilion doesn't have anyway.
14	THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Jack?
15	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Jack Pare, 188 Little Bay
16	Road. If you actually read the language that we
17	are discussing, it says that we will not spend
18	money to build the Pavilion. The fund in question,
19	is not taken apart or dismantled or blocked in any
20	way. And as the town meeting amended it a couple
21	of years ago, it does still permit additions and
22	modifications to the existing structure called the
23	Carriage House.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

It's also possible then, if you really like
the idea of putting the efforts into a Carriage
House, as Jack described a little while ago, you
could vote yes on all three of these articles,
stopping the free-standing, open-air tent, and
still funding the fund for possible modifications
and improvements to the Carriage House and allowing
the Selectmen to become the agents of that fund.
Thank you.

10 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Brian Haberstroh, Little Bay 11 Road. Thank you, Jack, that was very well said. Т 12 am -- I wanted to just -- I was part of the Fox 13 Point Advisory Committee, Steering Committee, 14 whatever, and I want to share just a couple of 15 moments of our last meeting, which we presented --16 which this Pavilion that you see over here on the right was presented. 17

At that meeting, the Planning Board and many people in the audience -- I just want to share with everyone that a high propensity of people were saying Carriage House, Carriage House, Carriage House. And I just wanted everyone to know that that's what a lot people in the audience were

1	saying. The amount of money it took when we first
2	got on this Steering Committee the Carriage House
3	has always been a consideration. It has been toyed
4	with, looked at, and the price of it has always
5	been expensive, but it sounds like people would
6	rather have the Carriage House just from what I am
7	seeing than say a stand-alone Pavilion, and at
8	maybe even a price tag to prevent a new structure
9	versus creating a new one, if that's the case, be
10	prepared a procedure more money. I think I
11	don't think \$25,000 is enough to put into that next
12	fund, if we go to the next one, if the Pavilion is
13	stopped. I think someone should consider maybe
14	upping that a little bit because we do have
15	significant funds; otherwise, the Carriage House
16	this could be going on for years and years and
17	years, too, because we don't have the money. We
18	have the money for the Pavilion fund right now. We
19	have it. We could just spend it, put up a
20	Pavilion. Everyone knows that. We don't have
21	enough money for the renovation of the Carriage
22	House. You are probably talking about
23	three-quarter of a million dollars, if I'm not

1 mistaken, give or take \$100,000 or those. So thank 2 you very were much. 3 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Yes. PUBLIC SPEAKER: Dave Turbide, Hutching Farm 4 5 Lane. We are relatively new in town. We've been 6 here about a year and a half, so I wasn't involved 7 in voting over the last year, eight years, putting 8 money into this fund; however, I do feel that there 9 is a certain amount of pressure knowing that people have voted for this Pavilion and put money in the 10 11 fund over those last number of years. But I feel 12 like I'm trapped to that and I don't want to be. I 13 don't want to feel that my decision on whether to build a Pavilion or not has to be in, you know, 14 15 sink with what the town has voted for, you know, 16 last year and the year before and the year before. 17 And if enough people were that concerned and really 18 wanted that Pavilion to be built, they should be 19 This is the forum for that, and I feel that, here. 20 you know, we should vote for or against this. And 21 based on today's conditions and how we feel about 22 it today and not really be constrained by votes 23 that were taken in the past.

1	THE MODERATOR: Thank you.
2	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patty Borkland, Little Bay
3	Extension. Just real quickly, Ruthie, did I
4	understand you to say that if, when we vote on
5	Article 24, does that mean you said it's going
6	to be moot, we are not going to vote on Article 22?
7	THE MODERATOR: Well, we can.
8	PUBLIC SPEAKER: And then I move
9	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Now, I just moved the
10	Article. I didn't think I didn't think about
11	putting more money into the Pavilion fund, if it's
12	not going to be built. And it's unclear as to
13	what's going to happen to the money that's already
14	in there, so I don't think we should be voting on
15	those two.
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. And then I just wanted
17	to make a motion. I don't know how to word it.
18	Let's vote.
19	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Anything you
20	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Move the question?
21	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Move the question. Let's
22	vote.
23	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Two-thirds of the
10	

audience have to agree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

(Inaudible discussion.)

MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. Go ahead.

SELECTMAN O'REILLY: I just want to answer a couple of questions or statements were made before you wanted to vote. One was kitchen and bathroom were not in the current plan and that is true. There wasn't enough money to do that all at once. We were going to phase it in. We were going to build the building, wait a year or two, see how much demand there was for a kitchenette, for a bathroom and then proceed.

The other one was where does the money go? 13 14 Two years ago there was a petition warrant article to dissolve this entire fund. Put it back in the 15 general fund or put it towards the garage which we 16 were going to bond that year. That was defeated. 17 18 People didn't want to remove the money. So I 19 think, Chris' question or comment was the money's still there. This doesn't remove the funds. 20 Т 21 still like to add, continue adding money if, in 22 fact, we are going to proceed on with the Carriage 23 House. That is still there and that is still a

viable option.

1

And then, right now we can't spend a cent on 2 anything because there's no agents. We messed that 3 up years ago. We didn't appoint anybody to do 4 anything to spend a cent. So if you don't pass any 5 6 of those other Articles, the money's going to still sit there until we do something with it but, all 7 That's all I have. right. 8 9 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Are we ready to vote? 10 All right. Okay. Could I have my ballot clerks come down to the front. And we've got two 11 checklists, so we can have two lines. And it's a 12 yes, no vote. If you vote yes, that -- if you vote 13 14 yes, you're voting that you are opposed to 15 construction of a Pavilion. Is everybody clear on that? Okay. Whenever the ladies tell us they are 16 ready. 17 BALLOT CLERKS: We're ready. 18 19 MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. You may go to either Peggy or Vannie and then come out the same 20 21 way. It is only open until everyone in the hall 22 has voted. (Pause in meeting for voting.) 23

1	THE MODERATOR: If you will all take your
2	seats. Is there anyone else that has not voted or
3	you can go buy food, but is there anybody else that
4	hasn't voted that wants to and is on the checklist?
5	Okay. I declare the ballot box closed and we'll
6	count now.
7	(Pause in proceedings.)
8	THE MODERATOR: All right. If you'll take
9	your seats now. The results of the ballot on
10	Article 24 was yes, 76; no, 9. So there will be no
11	Pavilion. Now is there any point in putting
12	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: We still can spend it on
13	on the Carriage House.
14	(Inaudible discussion.)
15	THE MODERATOR: Okay. We are going to vote on
16	22 and 23. Article 22 is to see if the Town will
17	vote to raise and appropriate the sum of \$15,000
18	under the provisions of RSA 35:1 to be added to the
19	existing Fox Point Pavilion Capital Reserve. Both
20	were both the Selectmen and the Budget Committee
21	approved recommended that, and it currently has
22	\$167,274 in it.
23	Do I hear a motion on Article 22? Moved by

1	George Fletcher; seconded by?
2	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Dave Turbide.
3	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Dave Turba. Turbine?
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Turbide, B-I-D-E.
5	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Okay. Is there
6	discussion on Article 22?
7	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I have an amendment I'd like
8	to make to this.
9	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
10	(Discussion among Selectmen.)
11	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Jack O'Reilly is going to
12	give an explanation of that.
13	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yeah, the reason I asked
14	to still put this up for a vote is Article 24 took
15	away one of the dual purposes of the fund. The
16	fund was originally titled The Pavilion Fund, as I
17	said earlier. That's all originally contained.
18	And then we amended it years back to put and/or
19	renovations of existing building being the Carriage
20	House, so some people still want to us, at least,
21	proceed with plans for the Carriage House, which is
22	why we need we'd like to add some more money in
23	which is what this amendment is for.

And the second amendment is when we didn't	
propose originally this fund, as you noticed today	
on a couple of new ones, you have to appoint	
someone the ability to spend the money, and we	
never did. So even if we had wanted to build the	
Pavilion Fund last week before the vote came out,	
we couldn't because no one has the authority to	
sign the to pay the bills. So that, appointing	
the Selectmen as agents, at least if you want to	
proceed with the Pavilion Carriage House Fund,	
at least this year we could. How far we'll get, I	
don't know, but that's what those two are for.	
PUBLIC SPEAKER: I want to explain the	
amendment that she's about the read. Just briefly	

amendment that she's about the read. Just briefly, the amendment that I'm suggesting is going from 15 to \$50,000. And the reason why is because of what I heard at the Planning Board hearing with regard to Fox Point and such a desire to renovate the Carriage House. And knowing and being on the Committee and knowing the price it will take to renovate that Carriage House, it sounds like people are interested. \$15,000 more into this fund is really not going to help that out much. So I'm

1	suggesting an amendment to raise that to \$50,000
2	and that is what she's going to read now.
3	THE MODERATOR: There is an amendment proposed
4	by Brian Haberstroh, To see if the Town will vote
5	to raise and appropriate the sum of \$50,000 to the
6	Pavilion Capital Reserve.
7	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Point of order.
8	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Yes.
9	PUBLIC SPEAKER: You got to have a motion
10	accepted and seconded before you can take an
11	amendment.
12	THE MODERATOR: Oh, okay.
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Ruthie, I just had one
14	Patty Borkland.
15	MODERATOR FLETCHER: What is this in regard
16	to, Patty?
17	PUBLIC SPEAKER: The amendment.
18	THE MODERATOR: Wait. You to have wait.
19	PUBLIC SPEAKER: You have to wait? Okay.
20	THE MODERATOR: And then you can have a turn,
21	okay? All right. Is there a motion to I mean
22	are there any is there discussion on Article
23	22 oh, I need a motion. Sorry. A motion on

1	Article 22. Wait a minute. George made that.
2	That was Larry. It was made and seconded. You are
3	trying to confuse me.
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER: And I did a good job at it
5	and I'm sorry.
6	THE MODERATOR: Yes, you did. Okay. So I
7	will get you for this. Okay.
8	Do I hear a second to Brian's amendment?
9	Seconded by Patty Borkland. So you're voting,
10	first of all, on the amendment to raise the amount
11	from \$15,000 to \$50,000. That's the amendment. Is
12	there yes?
13	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'd like to speak to the
14	amendment.
15	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: I'm very much in favor of
17	renovating Carriage House. I have to take a
18	certain level of exception as to what Brian said as
19	far as what the Fox Point Committee actually did to
20	review the plans of the Carriage house. I don't
21	think we did anything. In the meantime, the
22	Selectmen did a great deal to renovate the Carriage
23	House. There is one plan out there on the Carriage

1	House as a renovation. It hasn't been really
2	discussed, reviewed, looked at by the Fox Point
3	Committee and I certainly hope it will be before
4	any actual work is done.
5	So the while Brian is right, it was brought
6	up to the extent of saying the cost is horrendous,
7	it was, but nothing has been done to compare the
8	cost of what was proposed against what's already
9	been done nor has the proposal been particularly
10	vetted, and I think all of that review needs to be
11	done. So I'm not convinced I'm in favor of raising
12	this amount of money because I don't think we
13	really have a clue how much we want or how much we
14	are going to need.
15	THE MODERATOR: Okay.
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER: And we can, perhaps, even
17	wait another year.
18	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Patty.
19	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Patty Borkland. And I
20	totally agree with with Brian. I'd like to see
21	it raised to \$50,000.
22	PUBLIC SPEAKER: Laura Coleman, 212 Fox Point
23	Road. Ruthie, I don't know if we're allowed to

1 raise the monetary amount. Doesn't that have to be 2 approved by the Budget Committee before we vote on 3 it? MODERATOR FLETCHER: No. 4 5 PUBLIC SPEAKER: No? SELECTMAN O'REILLY: No. You can raise the 6 7 amounts, you can't raise -- you can't change the 8 purpose, but you can change the amounts. 9 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. All right. I had it 10 backwards. Thank you. 11 PUBLIC SPEAKER: Justin Richardson, Old Post Road I think, although I understand where Brian and 12 13 others are coming from about this being more 14 expensive, I think it's -- we're getting ahead of 15 ourselves. If we start to pour more money into 16 this fund without a plan in place. I'm not opposed to doing the amount that's there if people decide 17 that's what they want, but my view is that a 18 19 Capital Reserve Fund isn't necessarily the best way 20 of paying for the entire cost of the project. 21 I mean, if there was something major that 22 needed to get done, if we are worried about, you 23 know, a half a million dollar improvement, it's

1	probably better to look at using some of that money
2	from the fund that we continued to raise at a
3	gradual basis, obviously, we're changing our plans
4	a little bit now, but if it's a big ticket item
5	then you really ought to think about possibly
6	bonding it.
7	And if you're gonna bond it, and, you know,
8	the municipal interest rates are low, you know,
9	that's an option. And you just take what the
10	capital reserve has in it, and then you see how
11	much more you need to bond and then, you know, so
12	you are not there's not a rush to get to a
13	specific larger amount right now. I think what we
14	ought to do is consider in the next year looking at
15	what this fund can be used for.
16	And so, I would urge that people not feel a
17	need to jump out right now and race towards a more
18	expensive project.
19	THE MODERATOR: All right. We're voting on
20	the amendment, to change the amount from \$15,000 to
21	\$50,000. All those in favor, say aye. Those
22	opposed, no.
23	Okay. So that did not that failed. And,
1	
1	

1	Article oh, now we have to vote on the original
2	article, which is to see if the Town will vote to
3	raise and appropriate \$15,000. All those in favor,
4	say aye. Those opposed, no. That passed.
5	Okay. Article 23 will be almost the last
6	article. To see if the Town will vote to appoint
7	the Selectmen as agents to expend from the Fox
8	Point Pavilion Capital Reserve as previously
9	established under RSA 35:1.
10	Do I hear a motion? Moved by Mike Marconi;
11	seconded by John Klanchesser. Is there discussion
12	on Article 3 23? There being none, I'll call
13	for a vote. All those in favor, say aye. Those
14	opposed, no. Motion carries.
15	Okay. And the last thing is to hear a report
16	of the moderator of the election of officers,
17	including the Warrant Articles. I'll do that
18	first.
19	Warrant Article 2, are you in favor of
20	adoption of Amendment 1 as proposed by the Planning
21	Board that would permit the Planning Board to
22	determine which side of the lot is in the front?
23	Yes, 118; no, 92.

1	Amendment 2: Are you in favor of adopting of
2	Amendment 2, which is proposed by the Planning
3	Board, which would adjust the zoning buffers for
4	sexually oriented businesses? Yes, 135; and no,
5	75.
6	And on the official nonpartisan ballot, Board
7	of Selectmen for three years, Jan Stewart, 136;
8	Edna Moser, 62. For town clerk/tax collector, W.
9	Jane Mazeau, 192. For treasurer for one year,
10	Luanne O'Reilly, 164. For moderator for two years
11	Ruth Fletcher, 189. For Planning Board for three
12	years, vote for two, C. John Pare, 135 and Denis
13	Hebert, 143. For Planning Board for two years,
14	Bernie Christopher got 13 write ins. Planning
15	Board for one year, nobody. cemetery Committee for
16	three years, nobody. cemetery Committee for one
17	year, nobody. Board of Fire Engineers for three
18	years, John Klanchesser, 96; Wilbur Goins, 82.
19	Police Commissioner for three years, 190. Library
20	Trustee for three years, Melissa Prefontaine, 177.
21	Library Trustees for two years, Steven Bush, 176.
22	Library Trustee for one year Leila Lamson, 180.
23	Trustees of Trust Fund for three years, John Lamson
1	

1	182. Budget Committee for three years, vote for
2	three, Gail Pare, 116; John Lamson 153, and Sandra
3	Sweeney was a write in with 17 votes. Nobody wants
4	to be on the Budget Committee for two years. And
5	the Budget Committee for one year, Mary Crosly
6	wants to be on it and nobody else. Sewer
7	Commission for three years, George Fletcher 172.
8	And Article 3, are you in favor of changing
9	the term of the elected town treasurer from one
10	year to three years beginning with the term of the
11	town treasurer to be elected at next year's regular
12	town meeting? Yes, 135, and no, 69.
13	Will the people that have been elected stay
14	here and I know you do. When I'm done.
15	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yep.
16	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Will you stay and be
17	sworn in, please. Okay. And this concludes the
18	official part of the Warrant, and it says, given
19	under our hands and seal this 11th day of February
20	2012, we certify and attest that on the 15th day of
21	February 2012 we posted a true and and attested
22	copy of the within warrant at the place and
23	meeting, and like copies at the South Newington

Bulletin Board and the Meeting House and delivered 1 2 the original to the Town Clerk. 3 The Board of Selectmen: Cosmas Iocovozzi. 4 Jack O'Reilly and Rick Stern. A true copy of the 5 Warrant: Attest, Cosmas Iocovozzi, Jack O'Reilly and Rick Stern. 6 7 And now, Jack O'Reilly had a couple of things 8 he wanted to say. 9 SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Yeah, a couple. Somebody 10 asked me what the status was, why should we approve 11 the Warrant Article for about \$57,000 for Pettis 12 Lane boat ramp? That work is in progress, and it 13 should be complete by summer. And then we'll go 14 pave the road. So it may take a while to get down 15 to the ramp. Town reports, we, every year -- how 16 many did we print this year? MS. MARCONI: 17 375. SELECTMAN O'REILLY: 375. We deliver them, 18 19 then half the people don't bring them here and ask 20 for a copy anyway. They are on the town web site. 21 They are available electronically. And unless 22 someone has an objection, they'd like to call the 23 Town Hall and let us know, we'll probably reduce

1	that printing by about half, and then you can print
2	it out, you can read it, you can come in an borrow
3	a town report here. We try to save a little money
4	an a few trees. And one name wasn't mentioned was
5	the man I replaced, if I could say replaced, 14
6	years ago, Paul Kent was for the police
7	commissioner. We left him out. We still miss you.
8	And 14 years ago today, Paul threw his keys at me,
9	he ran out the door and Cossie's about ready to do
10	that now. But before he does, Cossie told me many
11	years ago, he said, when I'm finally no longer
12	Selectmen, he said, don't bother saying anything
13	good about me unless you think of anything, which I
14	couldn't so, thanks, Cos.
15	But I do have a proclamation for Cossie:
16	Whereas and it took me the 14 years I worked
17	with Cossie, about four of them, I didn't know how
18	to pronounce his name. I still have to tell people
19	how to do that.
20	Whereas, Cosmas Gerard Iocovozzi has served
21	the Town of Newington over 15 years in his capacity
22	as a member of the Board of Selectmen, Budget
23	Committee, Planning Board, Zoning Board of

Adjustment, cemetery Committee, Highway Safety and 1 2 Recreation Committee; 3 And, whereas, said Iocovozzi, therefore, 4 toiled long and hard in pursuit of smooth and efficient and useful operations and all to the 5 immense benefit of the taxpayer; 6 7 Whereas, said Iocovozzi became particularly 8 adept at mediating heated disputes between 9 Newington residents regarding matters of little 10 consequence -- you did a good job at that -- and; Whereas, said Iocovozzi on those rare 11 12 occasions on which he became exasperated with said 13 mediation efforts was heard to explain that no 14 matter how much one tries, quote, "You can't just" 15 -- "You just can't fix stupid, stupid." 16 He would then unfathomly gualify such commentary with his characteristic display of 17 18 modestly, "But, as, you know, I don't know what I'm 19 talking about." He invented that phrase, I think 20 CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Oh, yeah. SELECTMAN O'REILLY: In response to which a 21 22 certain few, readily agree. 23 Whereas, Iocovozzi in his 15 years on the

1	Board of Selectmen managed to repave virtually
2	every road in Newington well, obviously, there's
З	a few out there and with notable exception of
4	Gundalow Landing, in a mission which Iocovozzi
5	explained to be more nothing more than innocent
6	oversight and;
7	Whereas, said Iocovozzi repeatedly promised
8	that his act as a Selectmen would be to fire all
9	town employees. Fortunately, he forgot to do that
10	as well.
11	Now, therefore, we the Newington Board of
12	Selectmen proclaim our thanks and gratitude to
13	Cosmas G. Iocovozzi, and we do, therefore, place
14	our hands and seal on this 17th of March in the
15	Year of our Lord, 2005 (sic). Cos, you will be
16	missed.
17	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Thank you for that.
18	Thank you very much. I just want to say one thing.
19	A couple of things.
20	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Never a loss of words.
21	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: I, really, in all these
22	years, I really appreciated all the volunteers that
23	we have in this town. A few of us disagreed on a

1	bunch of things, but we never got mad at one
2	another, right, Paul? And it shows a lot about the
3	town, the amount of volunteers that we have. Also
4	our elected officials who do come up and, you know,
5	run and get on committees and work their hardest
6	and darndest, taking time to come in here to make
7	Newington the place what it is.
8	And, one last thing, all the town employees,
9	the department heads, have really, really have to
10	thank you for when I kept saying in December, hold
11	the line. Don't spend the money if you don't have
12	to. Give it back and they always came through for
13	the town. And I really want to thank our
14	department heads for doing everything they could to
15	keep the taxes reasonable, give the services right
16	up front and good service to the town, townsfolks,
17	plus people that come in and out of the town every
18	day.
19	Again, thank you very much. It's been a
20	pleasure. Oh, yeah. It's been a pleasure.
21	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Yeah, go ahead. And we
22	need to rectify this.
23	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Okay. It's been a

1	pleasure being Selectmen of the Town of Newington.
2	Had a lot of laughs, had a lot of long days, but
3	it's worked out well. Thank you very much.
4	THE MODERATOR: I forget to mention that Paul
5	Kent was elected Police Commission for three years
6	and he received 190 votes. Sorry, Paul.
7	CHAIRMAN IOCOVOZZI: Oh, my God. I have a
8	real gavel now. After all these years. I just
9	can't use it. There it is. Presented to Cosmas
10	Iocovozzi. It says, "Presented to Cosmas
11	Iocovozzi, Chairman, Board of Selectmen in
12	recognition of 24 years of service, dedicated the
13	the Town of Newington, 1988 to 2012." Boy, it's
14	been that long. Thank you again.
15	THE MODERATOR: Thank you all for coming and
16	maybe you can still buy some things to take home
17	with you for food.
18	SELECTMAN O'REILLY: Anybody move to have
19	adjourn? I do.
20	MODERATOR FLETCHER: Oops. Do I have a motion
21	to adjourn? Moved by George Fletcher; seconded by
22	John Klanchessar. Thank you all for coming.
23	(The meeting adjourned at 1:54. p.m.)

CERTIFICATE 1 I, Camille M. Palladino-Duffy, a Licensed 2 Shorthand Reporter for the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate 3 transcript of my stenographic notes of the proceeding taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set 4 forth to the best of my skill and ability under the 5 conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney 6 or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the 7 parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee 8 of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. 9 The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means 10 unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 COURT REA CAMILLE CAMILLE M. PALLADINO DUFFY No. 105 CAMILLE M. PALLADINO DUFFY No. 105 CAMILLE M. PALLADINO DUFFY No. 105 18 19 20 STATION NEW Palladino-Duffy Camille M. LCR 105, RPR 21 22 23

Duffy & McKenna Reporting Associates 1-800-600-1000